SUBMISSION 173

Name (Please use your full name)

DONNA SCHIPHORST

Postal Address

2 PIONEER GROVE, SILVERSTREAM

Agent acting for submitter (If applicable)

N/A

Address for service (If different from above)

N/A

Telephone number

021993464

Email address

DONNADING25@GMAIL.COM

I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission

No

The specific provisions of the proposed Plan Change that my submission relates to are as follows

I wish to present a submission on the planned change 50 section 32.

My submission is that

Dear Sir/Madam I wish to present a submission on the planned change 50 section 32. I wish to be heard. My objections are as follows: Roading throughout the valley not designed to cater for 100 new houses... likely with multiple vehicles in each house. SNA?? Isn't the area significant – natural bushes etc? You cant approve this section, when so many others are likely going to be restricted on what they can do with their sections. No basic services over in the valley. Schooling... how are these kids going to get to school?

Can the bus system cater for this many new kids? Is every development going to have multiple individual water tanks + sewerage? Isore on the hills of a rural valley. Thankyou for your consideration. Donna Schiphorst

I seek the following decision from the local authority

decline

Please indicate whether you wish to be heard in support of your

submission (tick appropriate box)

I do wish to be heard in support of my submission.

please indicate whether you wish to make a joint case at the hearing if others make a similar submission (tick appropriate box)

I do not wish to make a joint case.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

1. It is frivolous or vexatious

2. It discloses no reasonable or relevant case

3. It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further

4. It contains offensive language

5. It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

No Answer