SUBMISSION 146

Name (Please use your full name)

Andy Bowley

Postal Address

30 Kakariki Way, Whiteman's Valley, Upper Hutt, 5371

Agent acting for submitter (If applicable)

n/a

Address for service (If different from above)

n/a

Telephone number

021 338 941

Email address

bowley710@gmail.com

I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission

No

The specific provisions of the proposed Plan Change that my submission relates to are as follows

Plan Change 50 Rural Review

My submission is that

SUB-RUR-O5 Berketts farm precinct The lack of appropriate community engagement/consultation in relation to this development is deeply concerning and strongly suggests predetermination/goal seeking by the council. Intensification of Whiteman's Valley or any other rural area in the region should be considered extremely carefully and be consistent with the broader objectives of a well thought through rural plan protecting the rights of all rural landowners. It is alarming that the proposed rule changes support the Berketts farm precinct, the absence of which, a resource consent application would very likely be unsuccessful.

I seek the following decision from the local authority

(1) That Berketts farm precinct proposal be removed from PC50 and resubmitted as a private plan change. (2) That PC50 Rural Review be withdrawn in its current state with appropriate community engagement/consultation undertaken prior to redrafting the proposed plan change.

Please indicate whether you wish to be heard in support of your

submission (tick appropriate box)

I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.

please indicate whether you wish to make a joint case at the hearing if others make a similar submission (tick appropriate box)

I do not wish to make a joint case.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

1. It is frivolous or vexatious

2. It discloses no reasonable or relevant case

3. It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further

4. It contains offensive language

5. It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

None of these are relevant to my submission