

8 April 2015

Proposed (Private) Plan Change 40 Upper Hutt City Council Private Bag 907 UPPER HUTT

By email to: askus@uhcc.govt.nz

SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSAL FOR PLAN, CHANGE OR VARIATION (FORM 5) Upper Hutt City – Proposed (Private) Plan Change 40: Wallaceville

NAME OF SUBMITTER:

KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail)

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE:

Wellington Railway Station PO Box 593 **WELLINGTON 6140**

Attention: Rebecca Beals

KiwiRail Submissions on Proposed District Plan

KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail) is the State Owned Enterprise responsible for the management and operation of the national railway network. This includes managing railway infrastructure and land, as well as rail freight and passenger services within New Zealand. KiwiRail Holdings Limited is also the Requiring Authority for land designated "Railway Purposes" (or similar) in District Plans throughout New Zealand. Locally this includes the Wairarapa Line which runs adjacent to the area identified within Plan Change 40.

KiwiRail's submissions on the Proposed Private Plan Change are set out in the attached table. Insertions we wish to make are marked in **bold** and **underlined**, while recommended deletions are shown as **struck out** text.

KiwiRail wishes to speak to our submission and will consider presenting a joint case at the hearing with other parties who have a similar submission. KiwiRail could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

Regards

Rebecca Beals
Senior RMA Advisor
KiwiRail

Number	Proposed Amendment	Support/Oppose/ Seek Amendment	Submission/Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought (as stated or similar to achieve the requested relief)
Chapter 4: Res	sidential Zone			
1	Amendment 6 – Change to Policy 4.4.6	Support	KiwiRail support that the applicant is seeking to ensure that noise insulation and ventilation standards are imposed and that these are to clearly mitigate the effects from adjoining activities.	Retain as notified.
2	Amendment 10 – New Policy 4.4.16	Support	KiwiRail support the policy proposed in relation to resource consents being required to be considered based on appropriateness for the specific site constraints, and the ability to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse environmental effects on other areas of Upper Hutt City, which will include reverse sensitivity effects in relation to the adjoining rail corridor.	Retain as notified.
Chapter 18: Re	esidential Zone Rules			
Chapter 18: Re	Amendment 19 – Change to Rule 18.12	Oppose	KiwiRail are not opposed to development on sites adjoining the rail network, however as trains are intermittent and can cause effects on amenity, KiwiRail support that these potential effects are mitigated. Where sensitive activities are proposed on land near the railway corridors, appropriate controls should therefore be imposed to ensure the long term amenity for those occupying those sites. Mitigation includes the requirement for all buildings to be constructed, accessed and maintained entirely within private land. Commuter trains in particular are not always noisy and therefore advanced warning of their approach is not always provided, and with less warning, there is less time for the public to move clear of the train in the event of trespass. The general public should not be on the rail corridor for any reason without the proper approvals from KiwiRail and safety practices being implemented, in order to protect their safety. Where the rail corridor is electrified, as this corridor is, this is even more important as any equipment used for maintenance, e.g. ladders or tall poles, need to be able to be moved and used entirely within the adjoining site to avoid any risk of electrocution should the equipment get close to the overhead wires. The traction poles carrying these overhead wires are located on the southern side of the railway track, being adjacent to the boundary of the site subject to the Plan Change. Contact with the overhead wires can be fatal. Further to the above, it is noted that if the track is upgraded and a second track installed, this will be located on the southern side of the existing track, being the side closer to the site under application. This will also bring the overhead traction wires closer to the Plan Change site. While there are no immediate plans for that to occur, there is the potential for this in the event of increased usage of the rail network at some point in the future. The designation enables improvements and changes to the rail network to occur within the land boundar	Amend Table 18.12 as follows: Boundaries adjoining the rail corridor 5m
			Where an encroachment into that setback is proposed, the District Plan already provides that resource consent as a limited discretionary activity is required. On a case by case basis there are instances where encroachments are acceptable, depending on the extent of encroachment and the nature of the structure proposed that intrudes into the encroachment. However at all times safety is	

Submission Number	Proposed Amendment	Support/Oppose/ Seek Amendment	Submission/Comments/Reasons	Relief Sought (as stated or similar t	o achieve the requested relief)
			paramount therefore KiwiRail seek to be involved with ensuring safety can be achieved through the requirement to provide written approval.		
			KiwiRail are aware that the current Plan Rules do not require a setback from the rail corridor, however note that these have not as yet been reviewed. KiwiRail will be submitting seeking that all buildings be setback 5m from the rail corridor as a permitted activity through that future review process.		
4	Amendment 23 – New Rule 18.16A Ventilation	Support in part	KiwiRail support the installation of ventilation in order to address fresh air supply where this is impacted as part of mitigating noise from the adjoining rail corridor. KiwiRail seek that this applies to habitable spaces, rather than just sleeping rooms. The amenity for a living area in a dwelling is as important as that for a sleeping space. There is the potential for room use to change with future owners, e.g. offices and studies. KiwiRail wish to ensure that all residents now and into the future are provided with a habitable environment that is supplied with ventilation in the event that the space is near the rail corridor. KiwiRail note that there is already a definition within the District Plan for a 'habitable room' and therefore seek that the rule be changed to adopt that	Amend as follows: Rule 18.16A Ventilation Within the Wallaceville Structure Plan Are 1. sleeping rooms <u>A habitable room</u> whe dwellings within 20m of the Alexander Roo (designation TZR1); or 2. sleeping rooms <u>A habitable room</u> whe upper levels of two or more storey dwellin designated as MAF1; a positive supplementary source of fresh a time of fit-out. For the purposes of this rec	re openable windows are proposed in ad boundary or 20m of the rail corridor re openable windows are proposed on gs proposed within 10m of a site air ducted from outside is required at the puirement, a bedroom is any room
			existing definition.	intended to be used for sleeping. The sup minimum of 7.5 litres per second per pers	
5	Amendment 23 – New Rule 18.16B Noise Insulation	Oppose	KiwiRail do not support noise insulation only being provided for a sleeping room on upper levels of a two or more level dwelling within 12m of the railway corridor. This is not considered as sufficient, both in terms of where it applies and the distance from the rail corridor, to provide any meaningful mitigation. Noise insulation is required for all habitable rooms within 100m of the nearest rail track. The extent of mitigation required reduces with distance, and the cost of this on a new build can be minimal with technology and insulation qualities now available. While the track is electrified, freight and some passenger movements occur using diesel powered locomotives. Both types of trains generate noise that requires mitigation.	Amend as follows: Rule 16.18B Noise Insulation Within the Wallaceville Structure Plan Area, where 1. any sleeping room within 12m of the Alexander Road boundary; er- 2. any sleeping room on upper levels of two or more storey dwellings within of a site utilised for railway purposes (Designation TZR1)—shall be protected noise arising from outside the building by ensuring the external sound insula level achieves the following minimum performance standard: DnT,w + Ctr >	
			KiwiRail note there is no requirement within the District Plan for this mitigation, therefore KiwiRail acknowledge that the applicant is going above what is currently required for residential development adjoining the rail corridor. KiwiRail note that at the time of the Residential Zone rules being reviewed, as with the setback requirement, we will also be seeking that noise mitigation for habitable	2. New, relocated and altered noise ser centre line of the nearest rail track sharmaintained to ensure the following interest exceeded, and shall take into account addition of 3dB to existing measured of	Il be designed, constructed and ernal design noise limits shall not be future use of the rail corridor by the
			spaces be applied for all buildings containing noise sensitive activities. What KiwiRail seek in this instance is that appropriate noise mitigation for sleeping and habitable spaces be installed. The World Health Organisation guidance has a level of 30 dB, for sleeping spaces, however expert advice KiwiRail has received has accepted that this could be altered to 35 dB based on a general acceptance of residing near a rail corridor, and therefore 35 dB is what KiwiRail are seeking. This provision is submitted as also implementing the Council intentions in the recent Plan Change 38 whereby Council specifically sought to recognise and address reverse sensitivity effects in relation to infrastructure. The levels that KiwiRail have been provided as a general indication of the noise generated by a main railway line are below:	Receiving Environment (New, relocated or altered) Residential – Bedrooms Residential – Habitable Rooms Teaching spaces All other sensitive activity building spaces e.g.: • Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces • Commercial Spaces	LAeq, 1 hour 35 dB 40 dB 40 dB To comply with satisfactory sound levels AS/NZS 2107:2000 (nearest specified equivalent)

Submission Number	Proposed Amendment	Support/Oppose/ Seek Amendment	Submission/Commen	ts/Reasons		Relief Sought (as stated or similar to achieve the requested relief)
			Table 2 Railway	sound levels		
			Distance from track	Sound level		
			Distance from track	Sound level		
			10 metres	71 dB L _{Aeq(1h)}		
			20 metres	68 dB L _{Aeq(1h)}	<u>-</u>	
					-	
			30 metres	66 dB L _{Aeq(1h)}	-	
			40 metres	64 dB L _{Aeq(1h)}	-	
			50 metres	62 dB L _{Aeq(1h)}	<u>_</u>	
			60 metres	60 dB L _{Aeq(1h)}	_	
			70 metres	59 dB L _{Aeq(1h)}	_	
			80 metres	58 dB L _{Aeq(1h)}	_	
			90 metres	56 dB L _{Aeq(1h)}	_	
			100 metres	56 dB L _{Aeq(1h)}	_	
					_	
					installed for a distance of 100m	
				nat the amenity for re	esidents is of an appropriate	
			standard.			
			KiwiRail note the acquistic	report submitted wit	h the application, which identifies	
			KiwiRail note the acoustic report submitted with the application, which identifies approximately 16 trains a day on the Wairarapa Line. KiwiRail figures are			
			provided below for the number of train movements on the section of the track			
				oper Hutt, being that	section directly adjacent to the	
			Plan Change site:			
			Financial Year	Total	Daily Avg (total / 365)	
			2012	30,532	84	
			2013	29,994	82	
			2014	30,386	83	
			This highlights that the 16	movements in the a	coustic report is an underestimate	
					s on the line. The timetable	
			available on the Metlink w	ebsite (www.metlink	.org.nz) has 38 train movements	
					Wellington to Upper Hutt, with 37	
					lington for a Tuesday. This	
					4.32am through to 11.48pm. On	
			a Tuesday there are also 5 train movements in each direction, 10 in total, between Wellington and the Wairarapa that will also pass through Wallaceville. KiwiRail accept that weekend train movements is generally less than weekday.			
			The published timetable a	nd the figures identif	ied above are commuter	
			movements only, and do r	not include freight mo	ovements.	
			Given the discrepancy in the number of train movements identified in the acoustic report supporting the application, should the relief sought by KiwiRail not be accepted by Council, at a minimum KiwiRail seek that the recommendation in the acoustic report be not accepted as it is proposed and that the report be reviewed with correct data used in the calculations to confirm the extent of noise mitigation required.			
			ine extent of noise miligat	ion required.		
6	Amendment 24 – New Rule	Support	KiwiRail note that the prop	osal includes the co	nstruction of a fence between the	Retain as proposed.
	18.16C		rail corridor and the adjoin	ing site. This will co	ntribute towards noise mitigation	
					nder Rule 18.16B for additional	
			mitigation to be installed v	vitnin habitable room	s. The fencing does not eliminate	

Submission Number	Proposed Amendment	Support/Oppose/ Seek Amendment		Relief Sought (as stated or similar to achieve the requested relief)
			the need for consideration of noise mitigation, with fences understood to reduce the sound levels by 5 to 10 dB depending on the nature of the fencing, topography, and the receiving environment. While KiwiRail support the fencing requirement proposed, we wish to ensure that this is not considered as sufficient mitigation for noise.	