

KĀINGA ORA – ORIGINAL SUBMISSIONS – TABLE WITH UPDATED POSITION ON SUBMISSIONS FOLLOWING S42A REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S58.34	NH-R7	Amend NH-R7 to replace reference to 'residential accommodation' with 'residential activities'.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, to the extent that it is within scope of this IPI process.
S58.35	NH-S6	Remove NH-S6 from a Restricted Discretionary Activity and include as a standard for a Permitted Activity.'	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, to the extent that it is within scope of this IPI process.
S58.36	NH-S7	Remove NH-S7 from a Restricted Discretionary Activity and include as a standard for a Permitted Activity.'	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, to the extent that it is within scope of this IPI process.
S58.1	Entire IPI	Changes to the centre's hierarchy and commercial provisions in the Commercial and Mixed-Use zones to improve regional consistency to enable and support increased intensification across the City.	Reject	<p>Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, although its position is refined in relation to the spatial extent of centre expansion (see updated maps in Attachment C of Mr Rae's evidence).</p> <p>Kāinga Ora has refined its position in relation to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CCZ expansion; • Silverstream TCZ expansion; • Trentham Train Station (to remain LCZ (with only a small expansion)); • Wallaceville LCZ; and • Trentham North LCZ (Fergusson Drive / Nicolaus St).
S58.3	Entire IPI	Amend standards across the plan to be proportionate to the building height changes sought in this submission.	Reject	<p>Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, although its position has been refined. Key remaining building height relief sought by Kāinga Ora are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 22m building height in the HRZ • 36m building height in the HRZ within 400m of the edge of the CCZ
S58.5	Entire IPI	<p>Amend the proposed objectives, policies, rules and standards as necessary to achieve compliance with the requirements of the National Planning Standards as sought by this submission.</p> <p>Amend the proposed objectives, policies, rules and standards as necessary to improve consistency and conciseness across the IPI.</p>	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.8	Appendix 1 and 2	Retain as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora agrees with s42A recommendation.
S58.10	General Approach	Retain as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora agrees with s42A recommendation.
S58.21	Entire IPI	Retain as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora agrees with s42A recommendation.
S58.7	SUB-HRZ, SUB-RES, GRZ, HRZ, MRZ	Delete the SUB-HRZ chapter and delete the proposed amendment to SUB-RES to make it specific to the General Residential Zone. Combine subdivision in the GRZ and the HRZ into the SUB-RES chapter. Rename the GRZ as the MRZ – Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject	<p>Kāinga Ora is no longer seeking a combined SUB-RES chapter.</p> <p>Kāinga Ora continues to seek the GRZ be re-named MRZ.</p>
S58.28	Entire IPI	Amend the strategic direction provisions to state that residential development is also provided with centre and mixed use zones. See submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept	Kāinga Ora agrees with s42A recommendation.
S58.22	UFD-O1	Retain UFD-O1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora agrees with s42A recommendation.
S58.23	UFD-O2	Retain UFD-O2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora agrees with s42A recommendation.
S58.24	UFD-O3	Retain UFD-O3 as notified.	Reject	<p>Kāinga Ora has revised its position and seeks UFD-O3 is amended to:</p> <p>“UFD-O3</p> <p>....</p>

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
				3. The planned urban built character form of the zone including buildings of at least up to 8 <u>6</u> storeys.”
S58.25 ¹	UFD-O4	Retain UFD-O4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora agrees with s42A recommendation.
S58.27	UFD-P2	Amend UFD-P2 to enable building heights of “at least” 12m, 26m, and 36m in height within 400m of the edge of the City Centre Zone.	Accept in part	<p>Kāinga Ora continues to relief in relation to UFD-P2 to reflect its refined position.</p> <p>Changes shown in red are from the s42A Appendix 2 and changes shown in blue are sought by Kāinga Ora.</p> <p><u>UFD-P2</u></p> <p><u>Provide for heights and densities of urban built form that enable more people to live in, and more businesses and community services to be located in, the City’s urban environments, by:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>enabling the greatest building heights and densities, including unlimited height of buildings, to occur within the City Centre Zone;</u> 2. <u>enabling building heights up to of at least 206 metres and greater densities within the High Density Residential Zone. The High Density Residential Zone comprises areas within a walkable catchment of the following train stations and centres:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>Silverstream Station;</u> b. <u>Heretaunga Station;</u> c. <u>Trentham Station;</u> d. <u>Wallaceville Station,</u> e. <u>Upper Hutt Station;</u> f. <u>City Centre Zone;</u> g. Town Centre Zone; h. Local Centre Zone; and i. Neighbourhood Centre Zone; 3. <u>enabling greater building heights and densities, including building heights of up to 262 metres, to occur in the Town Centre Zone and Local Centre Zone;</u> 4. <u>enabling increased building heights and densities, including building heights of up to 12 metres, to occur within the Neighbourhood Centre Zone; and</u> 5. <u>enabling a variety of building heights and densities, including 3-storey buildings, to occur within the General Residential Zone;</u> <p><u>while avoiding inappropriate locations, heights and densities of buildings and development within qualifying matter areas as specified by the relevant qualifying matter area provisions.</u></p>
S58.29	CMU-O1	Retain CMU-O1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora agrees with s42A recommendation.
S58.30	CMU-O2	Retain CMU-O2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora agrees with s42A recommendation.
S58.31 ²	CMU-O3	Retain CMU-O3 as notified.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.32 ³	CMU-O4	Delete reference to 'Silverstream' in CMU-O4.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation noting that Silverstream is the only TCZ and Kāinga Ora is no longer seeking TCZ at Trentham.
S58.33 ⁴	CMU-O5	Retain CMU-O5 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.98	GRZ-O2	Retain GRZ-O2 as notified, with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.99	GRZ-O3	Retain GRZ-O3 as notified, with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.

¹ Incorrectly referenced in s42A report as S58.26

² Incorrectly referenced in s42A report as S58.21

³ Incorrectly referenced in s42A report as S58.22

⁴ Incorrectly referenced in s42A report as S58.23

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S58.101	GRZ-P1A	Retain GRZ-P1A as notified, with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.102	GRZ-P1B	Retain xGRZ-P1B as notified, with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.103	GRZ-P1C	Retain GRZ-P1C as notified, with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.104	GRZ-P1D	Retain GRZ-P1D as notified, with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.111	GRZ-R2	Retain GRZ-R2 as notified, with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.114	GRZ-S3	Retain GRZ-S3 as notified, with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.115	GRZ-S4	Retain GRZ-S4 as notified, with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.116	GRZ-S5	Delete MDRS standard GRZ-S5 and replace it with a standard that requires less outdoor living space (per unit). See the submission for the requested replacement standard.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation and is no longer seeking a more lenient outdoor living space per unit in the GRZ.
S58.117	GRZ-S7	Amend MDRS standard GRZ-S7 to apply a building height of '18m where located in proximity to an identified Local Centre Zone, as identified on the Planning Maps as a Height Variation Control'. See the submission for requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora is no longer seeking a Height Variation Control in the GRZ in proximity to LCZ. Kāinga Ora is now seeking HRZ on the northern side of Fergusson Drive.
S58.118	GRZ-S8	Amend MDRS standard GRZ-S8 to add the following standard: ' <u>For sites identified as being subject to an increase in height control around the Local Centre Zones, a 60° recession plane measured from a point 6m vertically above ground level for the first 22m of the side boundary as measured from the road frontage, and 60° recession plane measured from a point 4m vertically above ground level where located further than 22m from the road and along all other boundaries.</u> '	Reject	Kāinga Ora is no longer seeking an alternative HIRB control in the GRZ.
S58.119	GRZ-S13	Retain GRZ-S13 as notified with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.120	GRZ-S14	Retain GRZ-S14 as notified with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.121	GRZ-S15	Retain GRZ-S15 as notified with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.122	GRZ-S16	Retain GRZ-S16 as notified with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.127	GRZ-R12	Amend GRZ-R12 to include a non-notification clause for public or limited notification is a proposal does not comply with GRZ-S5 - Outdoor living space (per residential unit), GRZ-S9 - Hydraulic neutrality, GRZ-S14 - Outlook space (per residential unit), GRZ-S15 (Windows to street), or GRZ-S16 (Landscaped area). See the submission for requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora now seeks that GRZ-R12, GRZ-R12A and GRZ-R12B are combined into one rule for residential units, i.e. where 4+ units are proposed. Kāinga Ora continues to seek GRZ non-notification clauses, except in relation to GRZ-S9 - hydraulic neutrality.
S58.128	GRZ-R12	Amend GRZ-R12 as follows: 1. Delete Matter of Discretion 1 and replace it with 'the scale, form, and appearance of the development is compatible with the planned urban built form of the neighbourhood. (Note: this requested decision was not included in under this submission point in the summary of submission – however many submission points seeking a similar outcome are addressed throughout this table).	Reject	Kāinga Ora seeks that GRZ-R12, GRZ-R12A and GRZ-R12B are combined into one rule for residential units, i.e. where 4+ units are proposed. Kāinga Ora continues to seek GRZ amendments to matters of discretion as shown in blue below: "Council will restrict its discretion to, and may impose conditions on: (1) The matters contained in the Medium and High Density Design Guide in Appendix 1- The degree to which the scale, form, and appearance of the development is compatible with the planned urban built form of the zone;

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Delete Matter of Discretion (2) and replace it with 'the development contributes to a safe and attractive public realm and streetscape'. 3. Amend Matter of Discretion (3) by adding 'extent and' to the matter. 4. Delete Matter of Discretion (4) and replace it with 'the extent and effects of the development to deliver quality on-site amenity and privacy that is appropriate for its scale'. 5. Delete Matter of Discretion (5) and replace it with a reference to the extent and effects on three waters capacity - see the submission for the requested amendments. See submission for requested amendments. 		<p>(2) <u>Site layout</u></p> <p>(3) The matters contained in the <u>Code of Practice for Civil Engineering Works</u>. The extent and effects on the three waters infrastructure, achieved by demonstrating that at the point of connection the infrastructure has the capacity to service the development.</p> <p>(4) <u>Vehicle access and transport effects</u></p> <p>(5) Cumulative effects. The degree to which the development contributes to a safe an attractive public realm and streetscape.</p> <p>(6) Reverse Sensitivity effects. The degree to which the development delivers quality on-site amenity and occupant privacy that is appropriate for its scale.</p> <p>(7) <u>The effects of the standard not met."</u></p>
S58.130	GRZ-R12A	Amend GRZ-R12A by deleting matters of discretion (2), (3), (4), and (5) and replace with matters of discretion addressing: (2) the extent and effects of development to deliver quality on-site amenity and privacy that is appropriate for its scale. (3) effects on three waters infrastructure. (4) contribution to safe and attractive public realm and streetscape. (5) on-site amenity and privacy that is appropriate for its scale. See the submission for specific requested amendments.	Reject	<p>Kāinga Ora seeks that GRZ-R12, GRZ-R12A and GRZ-R12B are combined into one rule for residential units, i.e. where 4+ units are proposed.</p> <p>Kāinga Ora continues to seek amendments to the matters of discretion (see comments on S58.128 above).</p>
S58.132	GRZ-R12B	Amend GRZ-R12B by adding the following to the restriction on notification clause: An application for resource consent under this rule which does not comply with GRZ-S5, GRZ-S9, GRZ-S14, GRZS15 or GRZ-S16 is precluded from being either publicly or limited notified.	Reject	<p>Kāinga Ora seeks that GRZ-R12, GRZ-R12A and GRZ-R12B are combined into one rule for residential units, i.e. where 4+ units are proposed.</p> <p>Kāinga Ora continues to seek GRZ non-notification clauses, except in relation to GRZ-S9 (hydraulic neutrality).</p>
S58.133	GRZ-R12B	<p>Amend GRZ-R12B by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Deleting matters of discretion (2), (3), (5), and (7) and replace with matters of discretion addressing: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i the extent and effects of development to deliver quality on-site amenity and privacy that is appropriate for its scale. ii effects on three waters infrastructure. iii contribution to safe and attractive public realm and streetscape. iv the extent and effects of development to deliver quality on-site amenity and privacy that is appropriate for its scale. 2. Amend matter of discretion (4) by adding 'extent and'. 3. Delete matter of discretion (6). <p>See the submission for all requested amendments.</p>	Reject	<p>Kāinga Ora seeks that GRZ-R12, GRZ-R12A and GRZ-R12B are combined into one rule for residential units, i.e. where 4+ units are proposed.</p> <p>Kāinga Ora continues to seek amendments to the matters of discretion (see comments on S58.128 above).</p>
S58.9	GRZ	<p>Rename the General Residential Zone as the 'Medium Density Residential Zone'.</p> <p>Consequential amendments to incorporate the use of the term 'Medium Density Residential Zone' throughout the District Plan.</p>	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.95	GRZ	<p>Amend the GRZ-chapter to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rename the General Residential Zone (GRZ) as the Medium Density Residential Zone (MDZ); 2. Make consequential changes throughout the District Plan to give effect to the relief sought. 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S58.106	GRZ-P1	Amend GRZ-P1 to make explicit reference be made to the anticipated change to the planned urban built form, appearance, and amenity within the zone, consistent with Policy 6 of the NPS-UD. See submission for requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.105	GRZ-P1E	Retain GRZ-P1E as notified, with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.107	GRZ-P2	Amend GRZ-P2 to make explicit reference be made to the anticipated change to the planned urban built form, appearance, and amenity within the zone, consistent with Policy 6 of the NPS-UD. See submission for requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.108	GRZ-P4	Retain GRZ-P4 as notified, with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.109	GRZ-P5	Amend GRZ-P5 to delete reference to 'pleasant'. See submission for requested amendments.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.110	GRZ-P9	Amend GRZ-P9 to make explicit reference be made to the anticipated change to the planned urban built form within the zone, consistent with Policy 6 of the NPS-UD. See submission for requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief. Kāinga Ora seeks that GRZ-P9 has the following wording: <i>To promote residential development <u>that is consistent in accordance with the planned urban built form, appearance, and with a high level of amenity of the zone,</u> and ensure that it has adequate access to infrastructural requirements, <u>while recognising that amenity values develop and change over time.</u></i>
S58.97	GRZ-O1	Amend GRZ-O1 to delete reference to 'character and amenity values developing and changing over time' and replacing with similar wording that includes reference to the 'planned urban build form of the zone'. See the submission for requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief. Kāinga Ora seeks that GRZ-O1 refers to "in accordance with" rather than the s42A recommendation of "is consistent with".
S58.112	GRZ-R3	Retain GRZ-R3 as notified, with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.113	GRZ-R5A	Retain GRZ-R5A as notified, with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.124	GRZ-R11	Amend GRZ-R11 to include a non-notification clause as follows: <u>'i. An application for resource consent under this rule which does not comply with GRZ-S4 and GRZ-S8 is precluded from being publicly notified.</u> <u>ii. An application for resource consent under this rule which does not comply with GRZ-S5, GRZ-S9, GRZ-S14, GRZS15 or GRZ-S16 is precluded from being either publicly or limited notified.'</u>	Reject	Kāinga Ora seeks that R11 relates to all buildings (residential units or not) but accepts the s42A recommendation that public notification is precluded where the application is for the construction of any number of residential units (IPI rules GRZ-R12, GRZ-R12A and GRZ-R12B). Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in relation to limited notification preclusions for GRZ-S5, GRZ-S14, GRZS15 and GRZ-S16. Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation in relation to GRZ-S9 (hydraulic neutrality).
S58.125	GRZ-R11	Amend GRZ-R11 to include an exclusion for non-compliance with GRZ-R13 - Number of residential units. See submission for requested amendment.	Reject	Kāinga Ora seeks that R11 relates to all buildings (residential units or not) and therefore is no longer seeking the explicit exclusion for the number of residential units.
S58.134	GRZ-R22	Retain GRZ-R22 as notified, with the exception that the specific provision reference is changed from GRZ to MRZ.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.135	GRZ-MC1	Amend GRZ-MC1 to refer to 'planned built form' rather than 'planned built character'.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation, except in relation to GRZ being renamed MRZ.
S58.136	GRZ-MC2	Amend GRZ-MC2 to refer to 'planned urban built form and appearance' rather than 'planned urban built character'.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation, except in relation to GRZ being renamed MRZ.
S58.37	SUB-GEN	Inclusion of a non-notification preclusion statement for all Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activity rules within the SUB-GEN - General Subdivision Chapter. See submission for specific requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.39	SUB-RES	Amend all Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activity rules in SUB-RES-Subdivision in the General Residential Zone chapter to include a non-	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		notification preclusion statement for all in this chapter. See submission for specific requested amendments.		
S58.51	SUB-RES	Delete all policy references from within all SUB-RES rules.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.58	SUB-RES-R8, SUB-RES-R9, and SUB-RES-R10	Amend SUB-RES-R8, SUB-RES-R9, and SUB-RES-R10 to: (1). Remove appearance and landscaping from the matters of discretion. (2). Remove reference to consent notices being used for restricting development. (3). Remove the outcome of consultation from the matters of discretion.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation in relation to (1). Kāinga Ora continues to seek (2) and (3) are removed from matters of discretion.
S58.59	SUB-RES-R11, SUB-RES-S7	Retain amendments to SUB-RES-R11, SUB-RES-S7, and Matters for Consideration that relate to comprehensive residential development as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.40	SUB-RES-O2	SUB-RES-O2 Retain as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.41	SUB-RES-O3	Retain SUB-RES-O3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.42	SUB-RES-P1	Amend SUB-RES-P1 to delete reference to 'appearance' and replace 'planned built character of the area' with 'planned urban built form within the zone'. See submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.43	SUB-RES-P2	Retain SUB-RES-P2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.44	SUB-RES-P3	Retain SUB-RES-P3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.45	SUB-RES-P4	Retain SUB-RES-P4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.46	SUB-RES-P5	Amend SUB-RES-P5 to refer to the 'planned urban built form', and other minor amendments. See submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.47	SUB-RES-P6	Amend SUB-RES-P6 to refer specifically to subdivision. See submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation by referring specifically to subdivision, but continues to seek relief in relation to the indigenous biodiversity provisions being provided for via an overlay rather than a precinct.
S58.48	SUB-RES-P7	Delete SUB-RES-P7.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.49	SUB-RES-P8	Delete SUB-RES-P8.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.50	SUB-RES-P9	Delete SUB-RES-P9.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.52	SUB-RES-R1	Amend SUB-RES-R1 to delete reference to 'appearance' and 'landscaping'. See submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.53	SUB-RES-R2	Amend SUB-RES-R2 to delete reference to 'appearance' and 'landscaping'. See submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.56	SUB-RES-R6	Amend SUB-RES-R6 to remove 'appearance' and 'landscaping' from the matters of discretion.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.57	SUB-RES-R6	Amend SUB-RES-R6 to remove the outcome of consultation from the matters of discretion.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.54	SUB-RES-S1	Amend SUB-RES-S1 to delete the minimum site area threshold, and to add a shape factor of 8m x 15m for vacant allotments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.55	SUB-RES-S3	Retain SUB-RES-S3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.138	HRZ chapter	Rewrite the HRZ chapter to remove the need for compliance with the permitted activity rules and standards that apply to the GRZ.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.139	HRZ chapter	Amend the HRZ chapter by inserting the HRZ rules and standards into this chapter, as detailed in Appendix 2 of the submission. See Appendix 2 of the submission for details.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief and an updated set of HRZ provisions have been attached as Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence.
S58.152	HRZ	Rewrite all HRZ rules to remove the need for reference to the GRZ chapter. The HRZ should contain all rules, standards, matters of discretion and information requirements necessary to determine the activity status of an activity occurring in the HRZ.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief and an updated set of HRZ provisions have been attached as Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S58.141	HRZ-O1	Retain HRZ-O1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation, noting that in Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence this objective is HRZ-O3.
S58.142	HRZ-O2	Retain HRZ-O2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora notes that in Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence this objective is HRZ-O4. Kāinga Ora seeks that this objective refers to "6-storey" buildings, rather than "3-storey" buildings.
S58.144	HRZ-O4	Retain HRZ-O4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora seeks alternative relief as outlined in evidence, Kāinga Ora seeks HRZ-O4 is re-worded as outlined in HRZ-O1 of Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence: "HRZ-O1: The High Density Residential Zone provides for predominantly residential activities at a density and scale that enables higher-intensity residential development of at least 6 storeys." Kāinga Ora also seeks that the introduction to the HRZ chapter is amended, as outlined in Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence.
S58.145	HRZ-P1	Retain HRZ-P1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora seeks HRZ-P1 is re-worded to reflect the HRZ as a stand alone chapter and the more permissive performance standards. See Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence.
S58.146	HRZ-P2	Retain HRZ-P2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.147	HRZ-P3	Retain HRZ-P3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.148	HRZ-P4	Retain HRZ-P4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora has revised its position and seeks the wording as proposed in Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence: "Provide for developments not meeting permitted activity status, while encouraging high-quality developments"
S58.149	HRZ-P5	Amend HRZ-P5 to refer to "planned urban built form, appearance, and amenity" rather than "planned built character". See submission for requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora has revised its position in relation to HRZ-P5 and seeks it is re-worded to: "Enable a variety of housing typologies with a mix of densities within the Zone, including attached dwellings and multi-storey apartments of at least 6-storeys." Kāinga Ora notes that Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence used the wording "up to 6-storeys", but its preferred wording is "at least 6-storeys".
S58.153	HRZ-R2	Amend HRZ-R2 to include the following non-notification clauses: Restriction on notification: iii. An application for resource consent under this rule which does not comply with HRZ-S3 is precluded from being publicly notified. iv. An application for resource consent under this rule which does not comply with HRZ-S5 is precluded from being either publicly or limited notified. Insert a new restricted discretionary activity and discretionary activity rules into the HRZ chapter for commercial activities on ground floor of residential areas. Requested new rules include limits on GFA, hours of operation, and matters of discretion covering design, appearance and siting of the commercial activity, noise and illumination, and signage. See the submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief. Kāinga Ora seeks the non-notification preclusions are as drafted in the HRZ chapter attached at Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence. Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief in relation to commercial activities at ground floor in the HRZ.
S58.154	HRZ-R3	Rewrite HRZ-R3 to remove the need for compliance with the controlled activity rules, standards, matters and information requirements that apply to the GRZ.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, including a stand-alone HRZ chapter.
S58.155	HRZ-R5	Rewrite HRZ-R5 to remove the need for compliance with the discretionary activity rules that apply to the GRZ.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, including a stand-alone HRZ chapter.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S58.156	HRZ-R6	Rewrite HRZ-R6 to remove the need for compliance with the non-complying activity rules that apply to the GRZ.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, including a stand-alone HRZ chapter.
S58.157	HRZ-R7	Rewrite HRZ-R7 to remove the need for compliance with the non-complying activity rules that apply to the GRZ.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, including a stand-alone HRZ chapter.
S58.159	HRZ-S2	Amend HRZ-S2 to provide building heights of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. 22m; or b. 43m within 0m to 400m of the City Centre Zone or rapid transit stops. c. 36m within 400m to 800m of the edge of the City Centre Zone or rapid transit stops. d. 29m within 0m to 800m of the edge of the Town Centre Zone 	Reject	Kāinga Ora has refined its position with respect to the building heights and their spatial application. Kāinga Ora: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. seeks a permitted building height of 22m in the HRZ; b. has refined its position and is seeking HRZ within 800m of the CCZ c. has refined its position and is seeking building heights of 36m within 400m of the CCZ; d. has refined its position and is no longer seeking a additional height adjacent to the Silverstream Town Centre.
S58.161	HRZ-S2	Delete all HRZ-S2 Matters of Discretion and replace them with matters of discretion as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical.</u> b. <u>Streetscape and visual amenity effects;</u> c. <u>Dominance, privacy and shading effects on adjoining sites.</u> See the submission for the specific amendments sought. 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.162	HRZ-S3	Amend HRZ-S3 as follows: All buildings and structures must not project beyond a: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>60° recession plane measured from a point 19m vertically above ground level along the first 22m of the side boundary as measured from the road frontage;</u> b) <u>60° recession plane measured from a point 8m vertically above ground level along all other boundaries;</u> c) <u>Except no part of any building or structure may project beyond a:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. <u>60° recession plane measured from a point 4m vertically above ground level along any boundary that adjoins a site in the Medium Density Residential Zone.</u> 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, although has refined its position such that the 19m / 60° HIRB applies for the first 21.5m of a site and only where four or more units occupy the site. See HRZ: S2 of Appendix C in Ms Blackwell's evidence.
S58.164	HRZ-S3	Delete all HRZ-S3 Matters of Discretion and replace them with the submitters requested matters of discretion as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Dominance, privacy, and shading effects on adjoining sites.</u> See the submission for specific requested amendments. 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.166	HRZ-S4	Delete all HRZ-S4 Matter of Discretion and replace them with the submitters requested matters of discretion as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>Streetscape and visual amenity effects; and</u> b. <u>Dominance effects on adjoining properties.</u> c. <u>Whether topographical or other site constraints make compliance with the standard impractical.</u> See the submission for requested amendments. 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, except is no longer seeking "c. whether topographical constraints make compliance with the standard impractical". See matters of discretion under HRZ:S4 in Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence.
S58.168	HRZ-S5	Delete all HRZ-S5 Matters of Discretion and replace with the submitter's requested matters of discretion as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>The scale, form, and appearance of the development is compatible with the planned urban built form of the neighbourhood;</u> 2. <u>The development contributes to a safe and attractive public realm and streetscape;</u> 3. <u>The extent and effects on the three waters infrastructure, achieved by demonstrating that at the point of connection the infrastructure has the capacity to service the development.</u> 4. <u>The degree to which the development delivers quality on-site amenity and occupant privacy that is appropriate for its scale; and</u> 	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, except in relation to matter of discretion: No. 5. See Matters of discretion under HRZ:R1 of Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		5. <u>The extent and effect of non-compliance with any relevant standard as specified in the associated assessment criteria for the infringed standard.</u>		
S58.169	HRZ-S5	Amend HRZ-S5 to as follows: 1. Provide for building heights of 22m, or the following building heights within the specified walkable catchment of the CCZ or TCZ: a. CCZ i. 0m to 400m: 43m ii. 400m to 800m: 36m b. TCZ i. 0m to 800m: 29m	Reject	Kāinga Ora has refined its position with respect to the building heights and their spatial application in Upper Hutt. Kāinga Ora seeks a permitted building height of 22m in the HRZ. a. Within a walkable catchment of the CCZ, Kāinga Ora is seeking: i. HRZ within 800m of the edge of the CCZ ii. Building heights of 36m within 400m of the CCZ. b. Kāinga Ora is no longer seeking additional building heights within a walkable catchment of the Silverstream TCZ.
S58.170	HRZ-R8 or HRZ-R2	Amend HRZ-R8 or HRZ-R2 so that there is only one Restricted Discretionary Activity rule assessing buildings exceeding the maximum permitted building height. Amend the maximum building height to be 22m.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora has refined its position and considers that there should be only one rule for buildings (see HRZ:R4 of Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence). Kāinga Ora continues to seek the standard for building height is increased to 22m.
S58.158	HRZ	Insert a new restricted discretionary activity and discretionary activity rules into the HRZ chapter for commercial activities on ground floor of residential areas. Requested new rules include limits on GFA, hours of operation, and matters of discretion covering design, appearance and siting of the commercial activity, noise and illumination, and signage. See the submission for specific requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.60	SUB-HRZ	Delete SUB-HRZ chapter 'and include rules in the SUB-RES'.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.2	Entire IPI	1. Expand the High Density Residential Zone and additional height controls, as shown in Appendix 4, within walkable catchments of centres and train stations, which reflect general principles of: a) 15min/1200m walkable catchment from the edge of the City Centre Zone (CCZ) – with increased heights within 800m/10min walkable catchment of the CCZ, demonstrated with a Height Variation Control overlay; b) 10min/800m walkable catchment from the edge of Town Centre Zone (TCZ) – with increased heights within 400m/5-10min walkable catchment of the TCZ, demonstrated with a Height Variation Control overlay; c) 10min/800m walkable catchment from existing and planned rapid transit stops. 2. Apply additional height up to 18m in the Medium Density Residential Zone within 400m/5-10min walkable catchment of Local Centre Zone (LCZ). 3. Where a lower order centre falls within a walkable catchment of a higher-order centre or train station, enable heights consistent with the height enabled in adjacent residential zones. 4. Accept all changes sought from Kāinga Ora to the planning maps as shown in Appendix 4. 5. Other than the changes sought in this submission and in Appendix 4, retain the zoning as notified. 6. Make consequential amendments required to give effect to the changes sought in the submission.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in relation to walkable catchments of the CCZ and Rapid Transit Stops. Kāinga Ora has refined its position, but continues to seek relief, in relation to walkable catchments/building heights. Refinement is due to the position of Kāinga Ora that Upper Hutt functions as a Metropolitan Centre in the Wellington Region and Silverstream functions as a Local Centre. Kāinga Ora is seeking the additional height controls as shown in Attachment C of Mr Rae's evidence, which reflect the general principles of: a) HRZ within an 800m walkable catchment from the edge of the City Centre Zone (CCZ) – with increased height of 36m within 400m provided through a Height Variation Control (HVC) b) HRZ within an 800m walkable catchment of Rapid Transit Stops. Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in relation to the following: a) Where a lower order centre falls within a walkable catchment of a higher-order centre or train station, enable heights consistent with the height enabled by the higher-order centre or train station in adjacent residential zones. b) Accept all changes sought from Kāinga Ora to the planning maps as shown in Attachment C of Mr Rae's evidence. c) Other than the changes sought in the Kāinga Ora submission as modified in Attachment C of Mr Rae's evidence, retain the zoning as notified. d) Make consequential amendments required to give effect to the changes sought in the submission / Attachment C of Mr Rae's evidence. Kāinga Ora accepts the s42 recommendation, i.e. Kāinga Ora is no longer seeking: a) 10min/800m walkable catchment from the edge of Town Centre Zone (TCZ) – with increased heights within 400m/5-10min walkable catchment of the TCZ, demonstrated with a Height Variation Control overlay; b) Additional height up to 18m in the Medium Density Residential Zone within 400m/5-10min walkable catchment of Local Centre Zone (LCZ).
S58.151	HRZ-P7	Amend HRZ-P7 to enable the following building heights within the specified walkable catchments:	Reject	Kāinga Ora has refined its position with respect to the building heights and walkable catchments. Kāinga Ora seeks the following relief:

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		a. CCZ and rapid transit stops i. 0m to 400m: 43m ii. 400m to 800m: 36m iii. 800 to 1200m: 22m b. TCZ i. 0m to 800m: 22m		a. a permitted building height of 22m in the HRZ; b. HRZ and building heights of 36m within 400m of the CCZ; c. HRZ within 800m of the CCZ Kāinga Ora is no longer seeking additional height adjacent to the Silverstream Town Centre.
S58.4	Design Guides	Request the Design Guides and design guidelines are removed from within the District Plan and are treated as non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment. If the Council does not provide the relief sought, in deleting the Design Guides and design guidelines and references to such guidelines in the District Plan, Kāinga Ora seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. Otherwise, it is considered that there is no flexibility and scope to create a design that fits with specific site characteristics and desired built form development. Kāinga Ora seeks the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.26	Design Guide	Delete the reference to the Design Guide in Appendix 1 of the IPI and replace with a list of the specific design matters which Council seek be achieved.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.96	GRZ	Amend GRZ Background text to: 1. Remove reference to the Medium and High Density Design Guides. 2. Kāinga Ora seeks the Design Guides and design guidelines are removed from within the District Plan and are treated as non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment. 4. If the Council does not provide the relief sought, in deleting the Design Guides and design guidelines and references to such guidelines in the District Plan, Kāinga Ora seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. Otherwise, it is considered that there is no flexibility and scope to create a design that fits with specific site characteristics and desired built form development. 5. Kāinga Ora seeks the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.123	GRZ-R11	Amend GRZ-R11 to	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief. See Appendix A of Ms Blackwell's evidence for specific wording.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Delete references to design guides from this rule and to remove design guides from within the District Plan and are treated as non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. 2. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment, such as and not limited to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i Provides an effective public private interface; ii Provides a well-functioning site; iii Provides high quality buildings; iv Responds to the natural environment. 3. If the Council does not provide the relief sought, in deleting the design guidelines and references to such guidelines in the District Plan, Kāinga Ora seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. Otherwise, there is no flexibility and scope to create a design that fits with specific site characteristics and desired built form development. 4. Kāinga Ora seek the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document. 		
S58.126	GRZ-R12	Amend GRZ-R12 as follows: Delete Matter of Discretion (1) of rule GRZ-R12 and replace it with references to the compatibility in scale, form and appearance with the planned urban built form, and the development of safe and attractive public realm and streetscape. See submission for requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief. See Appendix A of Ms Blackwell's evidence for specific wording.
S58.129	GRZ-R12A	Delete matter of discretion (1) for GRZ-R12A that refers to the Medium and High Density Design Guide, and replace it with ' <u>The scale, form, and appearance of the development is compatible with the planned urban built form of the neighbourhood.</u> '	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief. See Appendix A of Ms Blackwell's evidence for specific wording.
S58.131	GRZ-R12B	Delete matter of discretion (1) for GRZ-R12B that refers to the Medium and High Density Design Guide, and replace it with ' <u>The scale, form, and appearance of the development is compatible with the planned urban built form of the neighbourhood.</u> '	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief. See Appendix A of Ms Blackwell's evidence for specific wording.
S58.150	HRZ-P6	Amend HRZ-P6 to remove reference to the Medium and High Density Design Guides and replace with wording to articulate the standard of urban design that is being sought.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief. See Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence for specific wording.
S58.160	HRZ-S2	Amend HRZ-S2 to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guides from within the District Plan and are treated as non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. 2. Delete all references to the Design Guides, including from the matters of discretion. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion. 4. If the Council does not provide the relief sought, in deleting the design guidelines and references to such guidelines in the District Plan, Kāinga Ora seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief. See Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence for specific wording.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter seeks the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document.		
S58.163	HRZ-S3	Amend HRZ-S3 to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guides from within the District Plan and are treated as non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. 2. Delete all references to the Design Guides, including from the matters of discretion. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion. 4. If the Council does not provide the relief sought, in deleting the design guidelines and references to such guidelines in the District Plan, Kāinga Ora seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter seeks the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document. 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief. See Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence for specific wording.
S58.165	HRZ-S4	Amend HRZ-S4 to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guides from within the District Plan and are treated as non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. 2. Delete all references to the Design Guides, including from the matters of discretion. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion. 4. If the Council does not provide the relief sought, in deleting the design guidelines and references to such guidelines in the District Plan, Kāinga Ora seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter seeks the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document. 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief. See Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence for specific wording.
S58.167	HRZ-S5	Amend HRZ-S5 to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guides from within the District Plan and are treated as non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. 2. Delete all references to the Design Guides, including from the matters of discretion. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion. 4. If the Council does not provide the relief sought, in deleting the design guidelines and references to such guidelines in the District Plan, Kāinga Ora seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter seeks the 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief. See Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence for specific wording.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document.		
S58.171	HRZ-R8	<p>Amend HRZ-R8 to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guides from within the District Plan and are treated as non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. 2. Delete all references to the Design Guides, including from the matters of discretion. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion. 4. If the Council does not provide the relief sought, in deleting the design guidelines and references to such guidelines in the District Plan, Kāinga Ora seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter seeks the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document. 	Reject	<p>Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.</p> <p>See Appendix C of Ms Blackwell's evidence for specific wording.</p>
S58.381	CCZ-P2	<p>Amend CCZ-P2 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guidelines from within the District Plan and treat them as a non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. Add a note added where reference is made to such guidelines as follows: <u>Note: Best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u> 2. Delete all references to the Design Guidelines. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment, such as and not limited to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i Provides an effective public private interface; ii Provides a well-functioning site; iii Provides high quality buildings. iv Responds to the natural environment. 4. If the requested relief is not provided the submitter seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter requests the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document. 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.384	CCZ-P4	<p>Amend CCZ-P4 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guidelines from within the District Plan and treat them as a non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. Add a note added where reference is made to such guidelines as follows: <u>Note: Best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u> 2. Delete all references to the Design Guidelines. 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		<p>3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment, such as and not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i <u>Provides an effective public private interface;</u> ii <u>Provides a well-functioning site;</u> iii <u>Provides high quality buildings.</u> iv <u>Responds to the natural environment.</u> <p>4. If the requested relief is not provided the submitter seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter requests the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document.</p>		
S58.385	CCZ-P5	<p>Amend CCZ-P5 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guidelines from within the District Plan and treat them as a non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. Add a note added where reference is made to such guidelines as follows: <u>Note: Best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u> 2. Delete all references to the Design Guidelines. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment, such as and not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i <u>Provides an effective public private interface;</u> ii <u>Provides a well-functioning site;</u> iii <u>Provides high quality buildings.</u> iv <u>Responds to the natural environment.</u> 4. If the requested relief is not provided the submitter seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter requests the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document. 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.395	CCZ-R6	<p>Amend CCZ-R6 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guidelines from within the District Plan and treat them as a non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. Add a note added where reference is made to such guidelines as follows: <u>Note: Best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u> 2. Delete all references to the Design Guidelines. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment, such as and not limited to: 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i <u>Provides an effective public private interface;</u> ii <u>Provides a well-functioning site;</u> iii <u>Provides high quality buildings.</u> iv <u>Responds to the natural environment.</u> <p>4. If the requested relief is not provided the submitter seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter requests the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document.</p>		
S58.398	CC7-R7	<p>Amend CCZ-R7 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guidelines from within the District Plan and treat them as a non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. Add a note added where reference is made to such guidelines as follows: <u>Note: Best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u> 2. Delete all references to the Design Guidelines. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment, such as and not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i <u>Provides an effective public private interface;</u> ii <u>Provides a well-functioning site;</u> iii <u>Provides high quality buildings.</u> iv <u>Responds to the natural environment.</u> 4. If the requested relief is not provided the submitter seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter requests the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document. 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.400	CCZ-R9	<p>Amend CCZ-R9 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guidelines from within the District Plan and treat them as a non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. Add a note added where reference is made to such guidelines as follows: <u>Note: Best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u> 2. Delete all references to the Design Guidelines. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment, such as and not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i <u>Provides an effective public private interface;</u> ii <u>Provides a well-functioning site;</u> 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> iii <u>Provides high quality buildings.</u> iv <u>Responds to the natural environment.</u> <p>4. If the requested relief is not provided the submitter seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter requests the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document.</p>		
S58.407	CCZ-S7	<p>Amend CCZ-S7 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guidelines from within the District Plan and treat them as a non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. Add a note added where reference is made to such guidelines as follows: <u>Note: Best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u> 2. Delete all references to the Design Guidelines. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment, such as and not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i <u>Provides an effective public private interface;</u> ii <u>Provides a well-functioning site;</u> iii <u>Provides high quality buildings.</u> iv <u>Responds to the natural environment.</u> 4. If the requested relief is not provided the submitter seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter requests the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document. 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.408	CCZ-S8	<p>Amend CCZ-S8 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guidelines from within the District Plan and treat them as a non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. Add a note added where reference is made to such guidelines as follows: <u>Note: Best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u> 2. Delete all references to the Design Guidelines. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment, such as and not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i <u>Provides an effective public private interface;</u> ii <u>Provides a well-functioning site;</u> iii <u>Provides high quality buildings.</u> iv <u>Responds to the natural environment.</u> 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		<p>4. If the requested relief is not provided the submitter seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter requests the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document.</p>		
S58.413	CCZ-R13	<p>Amend CCZ-R13 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guidelines from within the District Plan and treat them as a non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. Add a note added where reference is made to such guidelines as follows: <u>Note: Best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u> 2. Delete all references to the Design Guidelines. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment, such as and not limited to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i Provides an effective public private interface; ii Provides a well-functioning site; iii Provides high quality buildings. iv Responds to the natural environment. 4. If the requested relief is not provided the submitter seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter requests the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document. 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.415	CCZ-R16	<p>Amend CCZ-R16 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guidelines from within the District Plan and treat them as a non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. Add a note added where reference is made to such guidelines as follows: <u>Note: Best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u> 2. Delete all references to the Design Guidelines. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment, such as and not limited to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i Provides an effective public private interface; ii Provides a well-functioning site; iii Provides high quality buildings. iv Responds to the natural environment. 4. If the requested relief is not provided the submitter seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		<p>desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter requests the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document.</p>		
S58.426	Design Guidelines	<p>The submitter seeks the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guidelines from within the District Plan and treat them as a non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. Add a note added where reference is made to such guidelines as follows: <u>Note: Best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u> 2. Delete all references to the Design Guidelines. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment, such as and not limited to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i <u>Provides an effective public private interface;</u> ii <u>Provides a well-functioning site;</u> iii <u>Provides high quality buildings.</u> iv <u>Responds to the natural environment.</u> 4. If the requested relief is not provided the submitter seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter requests the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document. 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.427	Design Guidelines	<p>The submitter seeks the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the Design Guidelines from within the District Plan and treat them as a non-statutory tool, outside of the District Plan. Add a note added where reference is made to such guidelines as follows: <u>Note: Best practice urban design guidance is contained within the Council's Design Guidelines.</u> 2. Delete all references to the Design Guidelines. 3. Where particular design outcomes are to be achieved, these should be specifically stated in matters of discretion or assessment, such as and not limited to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i <u>Provides an effective public private interface;</u> ii <u>Provides a well-functioning site;</u> iii <u>Provides high quality buildings.</u> iv <u>Responds to the natural environment.</u> 4. If the requested relief is not provided the submitter seeks that the design guidelines are amended, simplified, and written in a manner that is easy to follow. The outcomes sought in the guidelines should read as desired requirements with sufficient flexibility to provide for a design that fits and works on site, rather than rules that a consent holder must follow and adhere to. The submitter requests the opportunity to review these guidelines if they are to remain a statutory document. 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.374	CCZ	<p>Amend the CCZ spatial extent as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Accept the changes the submitter requests to the planning maps as 	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		shown in Appendix 4 of the submission to expand the extent of the City Centre zone. 2. If the relief sought in this submission point and Appendix 4 of the submission are not granted, the following relief is sought: a. Expansion of CCZ as proposed in this submission – height variation control of 45m to HRZ. 3. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the changes sought in this submission.		
S58.375	CCZ	Retain CCZ Background text as notified.	Reject	Kāinga Ora generally supports the changes recommended by the s42A reporting officer, but seeks that design guides are non-statutory tools, outside of the District Plan and references to seeks all references to design guides are removed.
S58.389	CCZ Rule Table	Retain CCZ- Rule table as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.376	CCZ-O1	Retain CCZ-O1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.377	CCZ-O2	Retain CCZ-O2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.378	CCZ-O3	Retain CCZ-O3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.380	CCZ-P1	Amend CCZ-P1 to delete reference to 'character' and insert reference to 'planned urban built form'. See the submission for requested amendments.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.382	CCZ-P1 (Note: amendment is actually to CCZ-P2)	Amend CCZ-P1 - 1a. to state (Note: submitter is showing amendments to CCZ-P2 – 1a): Residential units are located above ground floor <u>or at ground floor where located to the rear of buildings where not accessed from an active frontage;</u>	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.383	CCZ-P3	Retain CCZ-P3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.386	CCZ-P6	Retain CCZ-P6 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.387	CCZ-P7	Retain CCZ-P7 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.388	CCZ-P8	Retain CCZ-P8 as notified.	???	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.390	CCZ-R1	Retain CCZ-R1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.391	CCZ-R2	Retain CCZ-R2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.392	CCZ-R3	Retain CCZ-R3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.393	CCZ-R4	Retain CCZ-R4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.394	CCZ-R5	Retain CCZ-R5 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.396	CCZ-R6	Amend CCZ-R6 non-notification clause under CCZ-R6(2) and CCZ-R6(3) as follows: Notification: An application under this rule is precluded from being publicly <u>or limited</u> notified in accordance with section 95A of the RMA.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief in relation to CCZ-R6(2) (location of residential units). Kāinga Ora seeks a preclusion from limited notification for non-compliance with Rule CCZ-R6(4) ⁶ (outdoor living space) Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation in relation to CCZ-R6(3) (noise and ventilation requirements).
S58.397	CCZ-R7	Amend CCZ-R7 standard 1.2.a, and 2.3.a. to delete reference to CCZ-R14 and replace it with CCZ-R7.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.399	CCZ-R8	Retain CCZ-R8 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.

⁵ The s42A recommendation on S58.388 was missing from Appendix 1 of the S42A evidence report.

⁶ CCZ-R6(4) is recommended by the S42A reporting officer as it was omitted from the notified IPI.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S58.410	CCZ-R10	Retain CCZ-R10 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.411	CCZ-R11	Retain CCZ-R11 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.412	CCZ-R12	Retain CCZ-R11 as notified.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.414	CCZ-R15	Retain CCZ-R15 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.416	CCZ-R17	Retain CCZ-R17 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.417	CCZ-R18	Retain CCZ-R18 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.418	CCZ-R19	Retain CCZ-R19 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.419	CCZ-R20	Retain CCZ-R20 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.420	CCZ-R21	Retain CCZ-R21 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.421	CCZ-R22	Retain CCZ-R22 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.422	CCZ-R23	Retain CCZ-R23 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.423	CCZ-R24	Retain CCZ-R24 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.424	CCZ-R25	Retain CCZ-R25 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.425	CCZ-R26	Retain CCZ-R26 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.401	CCZ-S1	Retain CCZ-S1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.402	CCZ-S2	Retain CCZ-S2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.406	CCZ-S3	Amend CCZ-S3 and replace with the submitter's requested amendments as follows: <u>All residential units must be located above ground floor level. Along active frontages identified on the planning maps all residential units must be located above ground floor level, except that residential units may be located on the ground floor where pedestrian access to a residential unit does not interrupt or prevent an active frontage as required by CCZ-S8.</u>	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.404	CCZ-S4	Delete CCZ-S4 and replace with the submitter's requested amendments as follows: <u>Buildings and structures must not project beyond a:</u> <u>a. For boundaries with the High Density Residential Zone:</u> <u>i. 60° recession plane measured from a point 19m vertically above ground level along the first 20m of the side boundary as measured from the road frontage;</u> <u>ii. 60° recession plane measured from a point 8m vertically above ground level along all other boundaries;</u> <u>Where the boundary forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site, or pedestrian access way, the height in relation to boundary applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site, or pedestrian access way.</u> <u>c. Residential chimneys, electricity transmission towers, masts, radio, television and telecommunication antenna and aerials.</u>	Reject	Kāinga Ora seeks that where a site in the CCZ adjoins a site in a different zone, the HIRB of that adjoining zone should apply along that shared boundary. For example, where the CCZ adjoins the HRZ, the HRZ HIRB will apply to the CCZ shared boundary.
S58.405	CCZ-S5	Retain CCZ-S5 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.406	CCZ-S6	Retain CCZ-S6 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.323	TCZ	Amend the TCZ spatial extent as shown in Appendix 4 to the submission. If the relief sought is not granted, the following relief is sought: a. Silverstream TCZ – height variation control of 29m to HRZ. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the changes sought in this submission. See the submission and its Appendix 4 for details.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek spatial extent of the Silverstream TCZ as shown in Appendix C of Mr Rae's evidence. Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation, and is no longer seeking, Trentham LCZ being up-zoned to TCZ.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
				Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation, and is no longer seeking, a 29m Height Variation Control to apply to HRZ surrounding Silverstream TCZ and Trentham LCZ.
S58.325	TCZ	Amend the TCZ - Introduction to: 1. delete references to Silverstream Centre. 2. (2) Add reference to Trentham as a town centre zone in the Zone provisions.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.338	TCZ	Retain TCZ rule table as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.326	TCZ-O1	Retain TCZ-O1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.327	TCZ-O2	Retain TCZ-O2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.328	TCZ-O3	Retain TCZ-O3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.330	TCZ-P1	Retain TCZ-P1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.331	TCZ-P2	Retain TCZ-P2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.332	TCZ-P3	Retain TCZ-P3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.333	TCZ-P4	Retain TCZ-P4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.334	TCZ-P5	Retain TCZ-P5 as notified.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation. Kāinga Ora notes that Appendix 2 of the s42A recommendation report has not been updated to reflect the finding in the overarching evidence report (see para 685 of s42A). Kāinga Ora supports the updated wording of TCZ-P5 as recommended by the 42A reporting officer, i.e.: "TCZ-P5 ... 4. Is well designed and contributes towards an attractive well-functioning urban environment; and"
S58.335	TCZ-P6	Retain TCZ-P6 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.336	TCZ-P7	Retain TCZ-P7 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.339	TCZ-R1	Amend TCZ-R1 to: (1) Add TCZ-S1 - Height to the public notification preclusion clause. (2) Amend the notification preclusion clause so TCZ-S4 - Active Frontages is precluded from limited and public notification. (3) Add TCZ-S9 - Water Supply, Stormwater and Wastewater), and TCZ-S10 - Hydraulic Neutrality to the public and limited notification preclusion clause.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in relation to TCZ-S1, TCZ-S4 and TCZ-S10. Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation in relation to TCZ-S10 (hydraulic neutrality).
S58.340	TCZ-R2	Retain TCZ-R2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.341	TCZ-R3	Retain TCZ-R3 as notified.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.342	TCZ-R4	Retain TCZ-R4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.343	TCZ-R5	Retain TCZ-R5 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.344	TCZ-R6	Retain TCZ-R6 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.345	TCZ-R7	Retain TCZ-R7 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.346	TCZ-R8	Retain TCZ-R8 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.347	TCZ-R9	Retain TCZ-R9 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.348	TCZ-R10	Retain TCZ-R10 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.349	TCZ-R11	Amend TCZ-R11 to add TCZ-R11-2.c to the public notification preclusion clause. See the submission for specific requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation noting that there was no TCZ-R11-2.c and the public notification applies to non-compliance with TCZ-S8 (Landscaping and Screening).
S58.350	TCZ-R12	Amend TCZ-R12 by:	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in relation to (1) deleting standard 1.a restricting

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		(1) Deleting standard 1.a that restricts the number of permitted activity residential units per site to 6. (2) Delete the matters of discretion under 2.a that address the effects of residential activities. (3) Delete the public notification preclusion clause. (4) Amend the public and limited notification preclusion clause by deleting reference to LCZ-S7. (5) Make consequential amendments. See the submission for specific requested amendments.		residential units to 6 and (2) the associated matters of discretion. Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in relation to a public notification preclusion for all non-compliances under Rule TCZ-R12. Kāinga Ora continues to seek a limited notification preclusion for non-compliance with TCZ-S5 (Location of Residential Units). Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation in relation to public and limited notification being precluded where there is a non-compliance with TCZ-S7 (Outdoor Living Space).
S58.351	TCZ-R13	Retain TCZ-R13 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.352	TCZ-R14	Retain TCZ-R14 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.353	TCZ-R15	Retain TCZ-R15 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.354	TCZ-R16	Retain TCZ-R16 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.355	TCZ-R17	Retain TCZ-R17 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.356	TCZ-R18	Retain TCZ-R18 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.357	TCZ-R19	Retain TCZ-R19 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.358	TCZ-R20	Retain TCZ-R20 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.359	TCZ-R21	Retain TCZ-R21 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.360	TCZ-R22	Retain TCZ-R22 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.361	TCZ-R23	Retain TCZ-R23 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.362	TCZ-R24	Retain TCZ-R24 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.363	TCZ-R25	Retain TCZ-R25 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.364	TCZ-S1	Amend TCZ-S1 - Height to increase maximum permitted building height from 26 metres to 36 metres. See submission for requested amendment.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.365	TCZ-S2	Amend TCZ-S2 to: 1. delete reference to 'or Open Space and Recreation Zone'. 2. Insert a reference into standard 1.a. so it only applies to the Medium Density Residential Zone (which the submitter is seeking the creation of under a separate submission point). 3. Insert a new height in relation to boundary standard of 60 degrees measured from a point 8m vertically above boundaries that adjoin a site zoned High Density Residential Zone. See submission for requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in relation 1. noting that there is no Open Space or Recreation zoned land in proximity to the only TCZ – Silverstream. Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in relation 2 and 3, but has revised its position and considers that where the TCZ adjoins a site in a different zone, the HIRB of that adjoining zone should apply, i.e. the HIRB of the HRZ.
S58.366	TCZ-S3	Retain TCZ-S3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.367	TCZ-S4	Retain TCZ-S4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.368	TCZ-S5	Amend TCZ-S5 to include the following exclusion to the active frontage standard: Along active frontages identified on the planning maps all residential units must be located above ground floor level, <u>except that residential units may be located on the ground floor where pedestrian access to a residential unit does not interrupt or prevent an active frontage as required by LCZ-S4.</u>	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.369	TCZ-S6	Retain TCZ-S6 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.370	TCZ-S7	Amend TCZ-S7 to amend the outdoor living space requirements to generally reduce the requirements. See the submission for the specific requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, noting that it does not agree with the s42A officer's recommendation to calculate communal open space on a per unit basis.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S58.371	TCZ-S8	Retain TCZ-S8 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.372	TCZ-S9	Retain TCZ-S9 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.140	LCZ	HRZ Background text - Remove item (viii) from the list, as the High Density Residential Zone should not apply within a walkable catchment of a Local Centre Zone.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.223	LCZ	Amend the spatial extent of the LCZ as shown in Appendix 4 of the submission including the spatial extent of Wallaceville LCZ and Trentham North LCZ. See Appendix 4 of the submission for specific requested mapping amendments. If the relief sought in this submission point and Appendix 4 are not granted, the following relief is sought: a. Wallaceville LCZ – amendments consistent with the height variation control sought for the HRZ within a walkable catchment of the CCZ, including 36m height variation on the east side of Ward St.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek spatial expansion of Wallaceville LCZ and Trentham Train Station LCZ (see Appendix C of Mr Rae's evidence). Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation in relation to Trentham North LCZ. Kāinga Ora notes that having refined its position in relation to the role and function of the CCZ it is no longer seeking a 36m Height Variation Control on the east side of Ward Street.
S58.224	LCZ	Amend the spatial extent of the LCZ as shown in Appendix 4 of the submission including removal of the Blue Mountain Campus as a LCZ and changed to MUZ. See Appendix 4 of the submission for specific requested mapping amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, but has refined the spatial extent of LCZ expansion., Kāinga Ora continues to seek Blue Mountains Campus is rezoned to Mixed Use Zone.
S58.225	LCZ Introduction	Retain LCZ - Local Centres Zone - Introduction text as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.238	LCZ Rule table	Retain LCZ rule table as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.226	LCZ-O1	Retain LCZ-O1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.227	LCZ-O2	Amend LCZ-O2 to replace reference to 'character and amenity values' with 'planned urban built form'. See the submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.228	LCZ-O3	Amend LCZ-O3 by deleting reference to 'anticipated character' and inserting 'urban' built form. See the submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.230	LCZ-P1	Retain LCZ-P1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.231	LCZ-P2	Retain LCZ-P2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.232	LCZ-P3	Retain LCZ-P3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.233	LCZ-P4	Retain LCZ-P4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.234	LCZ-P5	Amend LCZ-P5 by inserting 'urban' into point 1. See the submission for specific requested amendment.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.235	LCZ-P6	Retain LCZ-P6 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.236	LCZ-P7	Retain LCZ-P7 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.239	LCZ-R1	Amend the notification preclusion of rule LCZ-R1 to add LCZ-S1 (Height) and delete LCZ-S4 (Active Frontage) from the public notification preclusion clause, and add LCZ-S4 (Active Frontage), LCZ-S9 (Water Supply, Stormwater and Wastewater), and LCZ-S10 (Hydraulic Neutrality) to the public notification and limited notification preclusion clause.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in the form of a public notification preclusion for LCZ-S1 (height) and LCZ-S10 (hydraulic neutrality). Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in the form of both public and limited notification preclusions for LCZ-S4 (Active Frontage) and LCZ-S9 (Water Supply, Stormwater and Wastewater).
S58.240	LCZ-R2	Retain LCZ-R2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.241	LCZ-R3	Retain LCZ-R3 as notified.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.242	LCZ-R4	Retain LCZ-R4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.243	LCZ-R5	Retain LCZ-R5 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.244	LCZ-R6	Retain LCZ-R6 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S58.245	LCZ-R7	Retain LCZ-R7 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.246	LCZ-R8	Retain LCZ-R8 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.247	LCZ-R9	Retain LCZ-R9 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.248	LCZ-R10	Retain LCZ-R10 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.249	LCZ-R11	Retain LCZ-R11 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.250	LCZ-R12	Amend LCZ-R12 to: 1. Delete standard 1.(a) that limits the number of residential units to 6 per site. 2. Delete Standard 2.(a) that specifies the matters of discretion that apply where compliance with standard 1.(a) is not achieved. 3. Make consequential amendments. See the submission for specific requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in relation to (1) deleting standard 1.a restricting residential units to 6 and (2) the associated matters of discretion. Kāinga Ora seeks relief in relation to a public notification preclusion for all non-compliances under Rule LCZ-R12. Kāinga Ora seeks limited notification preclusions in relation to non-compliances with LCZ-S5 (Location of Residential Units). Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation in relation to public and limited notification being precluded where there is a non-compliance with LCZ-S7 (Outdoor Living Space).
S58.251	LCZ-R13	Retain LCZ-R13 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.252	LCZ-R14	Retain LCZ-R14 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.253	LCZ-R15	Retain LCZ-R15 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.254	LCZ-R16	Retain LCZ-R16 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.255	LCZ-R17	Retain LCZ-R17 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.256	LCZ-R18	Retain LCZ-R18 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.257	LCZ-R19	Retain LCZ-R19 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.258	LCZ-R20	Retain LCZ-R20 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.259	LCZ-R21	Retain LCZ-R21 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.260	LCZ-R22	Retain LCZ-R22 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.261	LCZ-R23	Retain LCZ-R23 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.262	LCZ-R24	Retain LCZ-R24 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.263	LCZ-R25	Retain LCZ-R25 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.264	LCZ-S1	Retain LCZ-S1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.265	LCZ-S2	Amend LCZ-S2 by: (1) deleting the reference to the Open Space and Recreation Zone. (2). Amend the recession plane standard 1.(a) by limiting its applicability to where the boundary adjoins a site zoned Medium Density Residential Zone. (3). Insert a new clause (b) to standard 1. as follows: <u>b. 60° recession plane measured from a point 8m vertically above ground level along all boundaries, where that boundary adjoins a site zoned High Density Residential Zone.</u> The submission seeks that a diagram consistent with this requested new clause be added to the standard - no diagram is provided by the submitter. See the submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation in relation to (1). Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in relation 2 and 3, but has revised its position and considers that where a site in the LCZ adjoins a site in a different zone, the HIRB of that adjoining zone should apply along the shared boundary.
S58.266	LCZ-S3	Retain LCZ-S3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.267	LCZ-S4	Retain LCZ-S4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.268	LCZ-S5	Amend LCZ-S5 - Location of Residential Units, by adding the following to the standard: Along active frontages	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		identified on the planning maps all residential units must be located above ground floor level, <u>except that residential units may be located on the ground floor where pedestrian access to a residential unit does not interrupt or prevent an active frontage as required by LCZ-S4.</u>		
S58.269	LCZ-S6	Retain LCZ-S6 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.270	LCZ-S7	Delete LCZ-S7 and replace it with the submitter's requested wording that provides for smaller outdoor living spaces. See the submission for the specific requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, noting that it does not agree with the s42A officer's recommended changes to calculate communal open space on a per unit basis.
S58.271	LCZ-S8	Retain LCZ-S8 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.272	LCZ-S9	Retain LCZ-S9 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.172	NCZ	Retain NCZ in walkable catchment of higher-order Centre as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.173	NCZ Introduction	Amend NCZ Introduction by deleting text the submitter considers too detailed and unnecessary. See the submission for requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.185	NCZ - Rules Advice Note	Retain NCZ - Rules Advice Note as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.174	NCZ-O1	Retain NCZ-O1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.175	NCZ-O2	Amend NCZ-O2 to refer to 'planned urban built form', and 'surrounding residential development. Delete reference to 'anticipated built character'. See the submission for requested relief.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.176	NCZ-O3	Retain NZC-O3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.177	NCZ-P1	Amend NCZ-P1 to refer to the 'planned urban built form'. Delete reference to 'character'. See the submission for requested amendments.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.178	NCZ-P2	Retain NCZ-P2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.179	NCZ-P3	Amend NCZ-P3 to refer to 'planned urban built form'. Delete reference to 'anticipated character'. See the submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.180	NCZ-P4	Retain NCZ-P4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.181	NCZ-P5	Amend NCZ-P5 to refer to 'urban' built form. See the submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.182	NCZ-P6	Retain NCZ-P6 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.183	NCZ-P7	Retain NCZ-P7 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.186	NCZ-R1	Amend NCZ-R1 to add additional standards to the preclusion to public notification and limited notification provisions by: 1. adding NCZ-S1 - Height, and deleting NZC-S4-Active Frontages from the public notification preclusion; and 2. Adding NCZ-S4 - Active Frontages, NCZ-S9 - Water Supply, Stormwater, and Wastewater, and NCZ-S10 - Hydraulic Neutrality to the public and limited notification preclusion provisions. See the submission for specific amendments to the notification preclusion provisions.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in relation to NCZ-S1 (height). Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in the form of a public notification preclusion for NCZ-S10 (hydraulic neutrality). Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in the form of both public and limited notification preclusions for NCZ-S4 (Active Frontage) and NCZ-S9 (Water Supply, Stormwater and Wastewater).
S58.187	NCZ-R2	Retain NCZ-R2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.188	NCZ-R3	Retain NCZ-R3 as notified.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.189	NCZ-R4	Amend NCZ-R4 to include reference to Local Centre Zone and the Town Centre Zone. See the submission for specific requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.190	NCZ-R5	Retain NCZ-R5 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.191	NCZ-R6	Retain NCZ-R6 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.192	NCZ-R7	Retain NCZ-R7 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S58.193	NCZ-R8	Retain NCZ-R8 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.194	NCZ-R9	Retain NCZ-R9 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.195	NCZ-R10	Retain NCZ-R10 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.196	NCZ-R11	Retain NCZ-R11 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
0S58.197	NCZ-R12	Retain NCZ-R12 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.198	NCZ-R13	Retain NCZ-R13 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.199	NCZ-R14	Retain NCZ-R14 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.200	NCZ-R15	Retain NCZ-R15 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.201	NCZ-R16	Retain NCZ-R16 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.202	NCZ-R17	Retain NCZ-R17 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.203	NCZ-R18	Retain NCZ-R18 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.204	NCZ-R19	Retain NCZ-R19 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.205	NCZ-R20	Retain NCZ-R20 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.206	NCZ-R21	Retain NCZ-R21 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.207	NCZ-R22	Retain NCZ-R22 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.208	NCZ-R23	Retain NCZ-R23 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.209	NCZ-R24	Retain NCZ-R24 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.210	NCZ-S1	Retain NCZ-S1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.211	NCZ-S2	Amend NCZ-S2 - Height in Relation to Boundary as follows: 1. Buildings must not project beyond a: a. 60° recession plane measured from a point 4 metres vertically above ground level along all boundaries, <u>where that boundary adjoins a site zoned Medium Density Residential Zone or Open Space and Recreation Zone, as shown on the following diagram, or</u> b. 60° recession plane measured from a point 8m vertically above ground level along all boundaries, <u>where that boundary adjoins a site zoned High Density Residential Zone.</u> The submitter also seeks that a diagram consistent with submission point (b) above is added to this standard. See the submission for requested relief.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora notes that the s42A evidence report accepts recommendation in relation to (1) but no amendments to NCZ-S2 are shown in Appendix 2 of the s42A report. As such Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief. Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in relation 2 and 3, but has revised its position and considers that where a site in the NCZ adjoins a site in a different zone, the HIRB of that adjoining zone should apply along the shared boundary.
S58.212	NCZ-S3	Delete NZC-S3.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.213	NCZ-S3	Retain NZC-S4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.214	NCZ-S5	Amend NCZ-S5 to change standard 1(b) to refer to 'Pedestrian access to a residential unit does not', rather than 'They do not'. See the submission for specific requested relief.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.215	NCZ-S6	Retain NCZ-S6 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.216	NCZ-S7	Delete NCZ-S7 and replace it with the submitter's requested version that provides for reduced minimum outdoor living space. See the submission for the specific requested amendments.	Accept	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, noting that it does not agree with the s42A officer's recommended changes to calculate communal open space on a per unit basis.
S58.217	NCZ-S8	Retain NCZ-S8 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.218	NCZ-S9	Retain NCZ-S9 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.222	NCZ-SSC-S1 to NCZ-SSC-S4	Delete NCZ-SSC-S1 to NCZ-SSC-S4.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S58.220	NCZ-SSC-R1	Delete NCZ-SSC-R1.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.221	NCZ-SSC-R2	Delete NCZ-SSC-R2.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.274	MUZ	Amend the spatial extent and Application of the MUZ on the planning maps as shown in Appendix 4 of the submission. See the submission for details.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation in relation to the spatial extent of the MUZ. Kāinga Ora continues to seek the Blue Mountains Campus is MUZ.
S58.276	MUZ	Retain MUZ - Introduction as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.289	MUZ Rule table	Retain MUZ - rule table as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.277	MUZ-O1	Retain MUZ-O1 as notified.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.278	MUZ-O2	Retain MUZ-O2 as notified.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.279	MUZ-O3	Retain MUZ-O3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.281	MUZ-P1	Retain MUZ-P1 - Appropriate Activities as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.282	MUZ-P2	Retain MUZ-P2 - Residential Activities as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.283	MUZ-P3	Retain MUZ-P3 - Other Activities as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.284	MUZ-P4	Retain MUZ-P4 - Inappropriate Activities as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.285	MUZ-P5	Amend MUZ-P5 to insert reference to planned 'urban' built form. See submission for requested amendment.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.286	MUZ-P6	Retain MUZ-P6 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.287	MUZ-P7	Retain MUZ-P7 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.290	MUZ-R1	Amend MUZ-R1 to add MUZ-S1 - Height to the preclusion from public notification clause, and add MUZ-S7 - Water Supply, Stormwater, and Wastewater, and MUZ-S8 - Hydraulic Neutrality to the preclusion from public or limited notification clause. See the submission for requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in relation to MUZ-S1 (height). Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in the form of a public notification for MUZ-S8 (hydraulic neutrality). Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in the form of both public and limited notification preclusions for MUZ-S7 (Water Supply, Stormwater and Wastewater).
S58.291	MUZ-R2 Note: was incorrectly summarised as MUZ-R3.	Retain MUZ-R2 as notified. Note: was incorrectly summarised as referring to MUZ-R3.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.292	MUZ-R3	Retain MUZ-R3 as notified	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.293	MUZ-R4	Retain MUZ-R4 as notified	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.294	MUZ-R5	Retain MUZ-R5 as notified	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.295	MUZ-R6	Retain MUZ-R6 as notified	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.296	MUZ-R7	Retain MUZ-R7 as notified	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.297	MUZ-R8	Retain MUZ-R8 as notified	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.298	MUZ-R9	Retain MUZ-R9 as notified	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.299	MUZ-R10	Retain MUZ-R10 as notified	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.300	MUZ-R11	Retain MUZ-R11 as notified	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.301	MUZ-R12	Retain MUZ-R12 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.302	MUZ-R14	Retain MUZ-R14 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.303	MUZ-R15	Retain MUZ-R15 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.304	MUZ-R16	Amend MUZ-R16 to:	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek relief in relation to (1) deleting standard 1.a restricting

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		(a) Delete Standard 1.a. to remove the permitted activity limit of 6 residential units per site. (b) Delete Standard 2.a. and b. to remove the matters of discretion that relate to the residential use. (c) add 'or limited' notification to the notification preclusion clause. (d) Make consequential referencing amendments. See the submission for requested amendments.		residential units to 6, and (2) the associated matters of discretion. Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation in relation to a public notification preclusion where there is a non-compliance with MUZ-S4 (Noise and Ventilation) and MUZ-S5 (Outdoor Living Space). Kāinga Ora seeks a limited notification preclusion for non-compliance with MUZ-S4 (Noise and Ventilation) and MUZ-S5 (Outdoor Living Space).
S58.305	MUZ-R17	Retain MUZ-R17 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.306	MUZ-R18	Retain MUZ-R18 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.307	MUZ-R19	Retain MUZ-R19 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.308	MUZ-R20	Retain MUZ-R20 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.309	MUZ-R21	Retain MUZ-R21 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.310	MUZ-R22	Retain MUZ-R22 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.311	MUZ-R23	Retain MUZ-R23 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.312	MUZ-R24	Retain MUZ-R24 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.313	MUZ-R25	Retain MUZ-R25 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.314	MUZ-R26	Retain MUZ-R26 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.315	MUZ-S1	Retain MUZ-S1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.316	MUZ-S2	Retain MUZ-S2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.317	MUZ-S3	Retain MUZ-S3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.318	MUZ-S4	Retain MUZ-S4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.319	MUZ-S5	Delete MUZ-S5 and replace it with the submitters requested outdoor living space standards, which generally provides for smaller outdoor living areas. See the submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief, noting that it does not agree with the s42A officer's recommended changes to calculate communal open space on a per unit basis.
S58.320	MUZ-S6	Retain MUZ-S6 as notified.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.321	MUZ-S7	Retain MUZ-S7 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.61	SUB-CMU	Amend all SUB-CMU Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activity Rules to include a notification preclusion statement. See submission for requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.63	SUB-CMU	Retain SUB-CMU rules as notified.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.64	SUB-CMU-R1, SUB-CMU-R2, SUB-CMU-R3, SUB-CMU-R4, and SUB-CMU-R5	Remove landscaping from the matters of control or discretion from rules SUB-CMU-R1, SUB-CMU-R2, SUB-CMU-R3, SUB-CMU-R4, and SUB-CMU-R5.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.62	SUB-CMU-P1	Retain SUB-CMU-P1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.65	SUB-CMU-R6	Retain SUB-CMU-R6 rules as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.66	SUB-CMU-S1	Retain SUB-CMU-S1 rules as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.67	SUB-CMU-S2	Retain SUB-CMU-S2 rules as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.68	SUB-CMU-S3	Retain SUB-CMU-S3 rules as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.79	Papakāinga background text	Retain PK - Papakāinga - Background text as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.80	PK-O1	Retain PK-O1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S58.81	PK-O2	Retain PK-O2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.82	PK-O3	Retain PK-O3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.83	PK-O4	Retain PK-O4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.84	PK-O5	Retain PK-O5 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.85	PK-O6	Retain PK-O6 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.86	PK-O7	Retain PK-O7 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.87	PK-P1	Retain PK-P1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.88	PK-P2	Retain PK-P2 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.89	PK-P3	Retain PK-P3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.90	PK-P4	Amend PK-P4 to remove consideration of the effects on adjoining properties. See submission for requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.91	PK-P5	Amend PK-P5 to include conservation activities in the list of non-residential activities.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.92	PK-P6	Retain PK-P6 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.93	PK-R1.1	Retain PK-R1.1 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.94	PK-R1.2	Amend PK-R1.2 to be a restricted discretionary activity rather than a discretionary activity. Delete the proposed public notification preclusion specific to standard (b) and replace with a general public notification preclusion for the entire rule. See submission for specific requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.38	SUB-GEN-R2A	Amend SUB-GEN-R2A to simplify reference to hydraulic neutrality. See submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.100	GRZ-O4	Amend GRZ-O4 to refer to no 'net' increase in the peak demand on stormwater management systems. See submission for requested amendment.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.143	HRZ-O3	Retain HRZ-O3 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.184	NCZ-P8	Amend NCZ-P8 to add 'Require', delete 'will', and add 'to'. See the submission for the specific requested amendments.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.219	NCZ-S10	Amend NCZ-S10 refer to the defined term and delete requirements specifying the performance requirements for hydraulic neutrality including the 10% and 1% Annual Exceedance Probability events. See the submission for requested relief.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.229	LCZ-O4	Retain LCZ-O4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.237	LCZ-P8	Retain LCZ-P8 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.273	LCZ-S10	Amend LCZ-S10 to insert 'hydraulic neutrality' and delete the hydraulic neutrality performance requirements as follows: New buildings and development must be designed to achieve <u>Hydraulic Neutrality</u> . ensure that the stormwater runoff from all new impermeable surfaces will be disposed of or stored on-site and released at a rate that does not exceed the peak stormwater runoff when compared to the pre-development situation for the 10% and 1% rainfall Annual Exceedance Probability event.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.280	MUZ-O4	Retain MUZ-O4 - Hydraulic neutrality as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S58.288	MUZ-P8	Retain MUZ-P8 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.322	MUZ-S8	Retain MUZ-S8 as notified.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.329	TCZ-O4	Retain TCZ-O4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.337	TCZ-P8	Retain TCZ-P8 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.373	TCZ-S10	Amend TCZ-S10 to delete the performance measures for hydraulic neutrality and replace with a reference to the defined term 'hydraulic neutrality'.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.379	CCZ-O4	Retain CCZ-O4 as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.409	CCZ-S9	Amend CCZ-S9 by deleting the performance criteria for hydraulic neutrality and replacing it with a reference to the defined term for hydraulic neutrality. See the submission for requested amendments.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.69	Development Contributions	Amend the DC- Development Contributions chapter to: (1) Rename the chapter to 'Financial Contributions'. (2) Delete all references to development contributions. (3) See submission for specific amendments to address the relief sought. (4) That the chapter be amended to include specific provisions that clarify how Financial Contributions will be applied including by: A. Provide a consistent methodology for determining FC across all forms of infrastructure, to the extent possible. For example: i. Assessing whether infrastructure upgrades are already allowed for within the Council's Development Contributions Policy and only charging FC on upgrades not allowed for. ii. Only charging the proportion of FC needed to service the proposed development (e.g., accounting for cumulative effects on infrastructure, but not disproportionately charging FC to those who may be the first to trigger an infrastructure upgrade). B. Provide specific calculations, to the extent possible. C. Provide specific circumstances where FC will not be charged. D. Provide details as to who undertakes the assessment (e.g., per FC-S3.1.d) and the process for dispute resolution. E. By reference to an external document or resource, provide an 'online calculator' or similar tools to enable plan users to readily assess FC.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.70	Development Contributions	Delete DC - Development Contributions Background text to delete reference to development contributions. See submission for requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.71	DC-P1	Amend DC-P1 to include references to 'those developing or subdividing', and 'based on the effects of the activity'. See submission for requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.72	DC-P2	Amend DC-P2 to refer to 'those developing or subdividing', and 'to be responsible for the fair and reasonable cost', and to insert commentary that specifies that financial contributions are required 'where such costs are not otherwise addressed by any other funding source available to the Council. See the submission for specific requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.73	DC-P3	Delete DC-P3 and replace with a new policy as follows: ' <u>Require those developing or subdividing land to be responsible for the fair and reasonable cost of upgrading existing infrastructure or providing new infrastructure</u>	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		<u>outside the land being subdivided, where existing infrastructure is not adequate to service the development, and where such costs are not otherwise addressed by any other funding source available to the Council.'</u>		
S58.74	DC-P4	Delete DC-P4.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.75	DC-P5	Delete DC-P5 and replace it with the following: ' <u>Require those developing or subdividing land to make a fair and reasonable contribution, in money or land, to open space and/or reserve contribution, where such costs are not otherwise addressed by any other funding source available to the Council.'</u>	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.76	DC-P6	Delete DC-P6.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.77	DC-P7	Amend DC-P7 consistent with the relief sought on the other FC chapter provisions. See submission for requested amendments.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.78	Development Contributions	Delete Rule R2-A to R2-E. (2). Notwithstanding the relief sought in the Kāinga Ora submission, deletion of a rule requiring an equivalent value equal to 4% of the value of each new residential unit or allotment up to a maximum of \$10,000 per residential unit or allotment is sought. (3). Seek a replacement rule for proposed rules R2-A to R2-E (see submission for the new rule requested by the submitter).	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.6	Indigenous Biodiversity Precinct	Replace all references to Indigenous Biodiversity Precinct with Indigenous Biodiversity Overlay with accompanying rules located in the ECO chapter as provided within Appendix 3 - See submission for more detail.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.137	Indigenous Biodiversity Precinct	1. Delete the GRZ – Precinct 1 chapter and replace with an Indigenous Biodiversity Overlay, with a rule framework contained within the ECO chapter. 2. Accept the changes sought in Appendix 3 of the submission. See submission for specific requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.11	Definition – Ancestral Land	Retain definition for 'ancestral land' as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.12	Definition – Comprehensive Residential Development	Retain deletion of definition for 'comprehensive residential development' as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.13	Definition - Dwelling	Delete definition for 'dwelling' and make consequential amendments to replace with the term 'residential unit'.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.14	Definition – High Density Residential Zone	Delete definition for 'high density residential zone'.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.15	Definition – Hydraulic Neutrality	Amend definition for hydraulic neutrality to delete reference to on-site disposal or storage, and references to the 10% and 1% AEP flood events. See submission for specific requested wording.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.16	Definition – General Residential Zone	1. Rename the 'General Residential Zone' as the 'Medium Density Residential Zone'. 2. All references of this residential zone to be amended throughout the IPI.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.17	Definition – Papakāinga	Amend definition to refer to residential and conservation activities. Include reference to supporting cultural, environmental, and economic wellbeing. See submission for specific requested amendments.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S58.18	Definition – Relevant Residential Zone	Amend definition for 'relevant residential zone' to replace reference to 'general residential zone' with 'medium density residential zone'.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.19	Definition – Reverse Sensitivity	Retain definition for 'reverse sensitivity' as notified.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.

Sub. Ref.	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S58.20	Definition – Walkable Catchment	Delete definition for 'walkable catchment' and make necessary consequential amendments across the district plan.	Reject	<p>Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.</p> <p>Kāinga Ora notes that the s42A recommended changes to the definition of 'walkable catchment' make the definition more confusing. UFD-P2 does not specify areas subject to a particular walkable catchment.</p> <p>For information purposes, the S42A changes to the definition of 'walkable catchment' (not supported by Kāinga Ora) are shown in red:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">means areas within the High Density Residential Zone that are specified in Urban Form and Development Policy UFD-P2 <u>within a 10 minute walk of a train station, and the City Centre Zone based on average walking speeds</u></p>
S58.275	MUZ	Rezone Blue Mountain Campus to Mixed Use Zone, as shown in Appendix 4 of the submission. See the submission for details.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S58.324	Trentham LCZ	<p>Amend Trentham LCZ to become TCZ, as shown in Appendix 4 of the submission. If the relief sought is not granted, the following relief is sought:</p> <p>(a) Trentham as a TCZ – no variation to outcomes sought consistent with rest of submission</p> <p>(b) b. Spatial Extent of Trentham TCZ – height variation of 29m to HRZ. Consequential amendments may be required to give effect to the changes sought in this submission. See the submission and its Appendix 4 for details.</p>	Reject	<p>Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation and is no longer seeking Trentham LCZ to be a TCZ.</p> <p>Kāinga Ora is seeking some minor spatial expansion to the Trentham LCZ as shown on Attachment C of Mr Rae's evidence.</p>

KĀINGA ORA – FURTHER SUBMISSIONS: UPPER HUTT CITY COUNCIL – INTENSIFICATION PLANNING INSTRUMENT

TABLE WITH UPDATED POSITION ON SUBMISSIONS FOLLOWING S42A REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS

Sub. Ref.	Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Primary Submission	Kāinga Ora Further Submission	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Further Submission	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S28.1	Ara Poutama Aotearoa – Department of Corrections	Entire IPI	Seeks that intensive residential development is not enabled adjacent to Rimutaka Prison.	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose the relief sought as the operation and security should be adequately managed within the grounds of Rimutaka Prison itself. Being near a prison is not a qualifying matter.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S27.1	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Entire IPI	Revise the corridor provisions to reflect Transpower's current, nationally consistent, engineering based approach to managing effects on the National Grid and giving effect to the NPSET.	Reject	Kāinga Ora opposes such changes being undertaken within the IPI process.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S33.7	Fuel Companies	Entire IPI	Seek amendments to ensure that reverse sensitivity effects on existing lawfully established non-residential activities are minimised.	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose this relief, noting that the presence of existing lawfully established activities in proximity to / within residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, present a reverse sensitivity effect warranting additional controls or management	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S33.8	Fuel Companies	Entire IPI	Add new policy: <u>New residential development should be designed to minimise reverse sensitivity effects on existing non-residential activities.</u>	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose this relief, noting that the presence of existing lawfully established activities in proximity to / within residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, present a reverse sensitivity effect warranting additional controls or management	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S41.11	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Entire IPI	Incorporate the following provisions (or amendments to existing provisions) across the District Plan: (a) Objective for the transport system to reduce dependence on fossil fuels and private vehicles recognising contributing to reduction in GHG emissions (Proposed RPS Change 1 Objective CC.3). (b) Objective for new subdivision, use and development to minimise reliance on private vehicles and maximise use of public transport and active transport modes. (c) Policy that sets out a preference for freight distribution centres and high trip generating activities to locate in areas that are in close proximity to efficient transport networks. (d) Policy that enables the development of zero and low carbon and public transport infrastructure (i.e., charging stations, park, and ride facilities). (e) Rules to permit the development of appropriate zero carbon, public transport, and active transport infrastructure. (f) Policy that requires the provision of infrastructure in subdivision development that supports modal shift and consideration of how design can reduce greenhouse gas emissions. (g) Rule and associated standard that requires end of trip cycling facilities for staff (showers	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose the introduction of a rule and/or standard requiring provision of charging stations in order for a development to be considered a Permitted Activity. The additional cost to a development for infrastructure that may or may not be utilised is considered unnecessary. In addition, more emphasis should be made on alternative modes of transport rather than personal vehicles. Kāinga Ora oppose introduction as a matter of control or discretion the extent to which the development provides for zero or low carbon, public and active transport modes. Kāinga Ora oppose the introduction of travel demand plan requirements for subdivision, number of dwellings, or number of people in the context of residential development. Kāinga Ora consider that travel management is better undertaken at a neighbourhood scale and that they are prepared by councils rather than applicants.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.

Sub. Ref.	Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Primary Submission	Kāinga Ora Further Submission	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Further Submission	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
			<p>and lockers). The standard should be scaled for the number of staff cycle parks provided.</p> <p>(h) Amend/include standards to require EV or e-bike charging stations, including for residential development.</p> <p>(i) Amend/include standards that specify requirements for safe cycle lanes, pedestrian crossings, cycle parks.</p> <p>(j) Matter of control or discretion for subdivision, comprehensive housing development and commercial activity rules (and similar) a requirement to consider the extent to which the development provides for zero or low carbon, public and active transport modes.</p> <p>(k) Include provisions to prescribe thresholds for when consent applicants must prepare travel demand management plans (integrated transport assessments). The thresholds can be size of the subdivision, number of dwellings, people, floor size of retail development etc. It should apply to residential, education, office, industrial, community, entertainment and other land use activities that could generate higher private vehicle and freight travel. Provisions should also require that travel demand management plans include measures to reduce reliance on private vehicles and encourage modal shift to low carbon, active or public transport options.</p>				
S27.25	Transpower New Zealand Limited	GRZ-P1A	Amend Policy GRZ-P1A as follows: "Enable a variety of housing typologies with a mix of densities within the Zone, including 3-storey attached and detached dwellings, and low-rise apartments, <u>while avoiding inappropriate locations, heights and densities of buildings and development within qualifying matter areas as specified by the relevant qualifying area provisions.</u> "	Reject	Kāinga Ora opposes the relief sought, as it not considered necessary to aid plan implementation and interpretation.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S33.10	Fuel Companies	GRZ-R12A	<p>Amend the Matters of Discretion under Rule GRZ-R12A as follows:</p> <p>Council will restrict its discretion to, and may impose conditions on:</p> <p>(2) Site layout</p> <p>(7) The matters contained in the Code of Practice for Civil Engineering Works.</p> <p>(8) Transport effects.</p> <p>(9) Cumulative effects.</p> <p><u>(6) Reverse sensitivity effects on existing lawfully established non-residential activities.</u></p>	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora oppose this relief, noting that the presence of existing lawfully established activities in proximity to / within residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, present a reverse sensitivity effect warranting additional controls or management.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S33.11	Fuel Companies	GRZ-R12B	<p>Amend the Matters of Discretion under Rule GRZ-R12B as follows:</p> <p>Council will restrict its discretion to.....</p> <p><u>(8) Reverse sensitivity effects on existing lawfully established non-residential activities.</u></p>	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora oppose this relief, noting that the presence of existing lawfully established activities in proximity to / within residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, present a reverse sensitivity effect warranting additional controls or management	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.

Sub. Ref.	Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Primary Submission	Kāinga Ora Further Submission	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Further Submission	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S33.9	Fuel Companies	GRZ-R11	Amend the Matters of Discretion under Rule GRZ-R11 as follows: Council will restrict its discretion to, and may impose conditions on: effects. (7) Effects on neighbourhood character and amenity. (8) Financial contributions. (9) The matters contained in the Medium and High Density Design Guide in Appendix 1. (10) measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects. (11) Cumulative effects. <u>(12) Reverse sensitivity effects on existing lawfully established non-residential activities.</u>	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora oppose this relief, noting that the presence of existing lawfully established activities in proximity to / within residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, present a reverse sensitivity effect warranting additional controls or management	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S27.27	Transpower New Zealand Limited	GRZ-General Residential Zone Rules	Amend the General Residential Zone rules to include a new <u>District-wide table rule that states the following: "District-wide matters Each activity in the General Residential Zone must comply with all relevant rules and standards that relate to qualifying matter areas."</u>	Accept in part	It not considered necessary to aid plan implementation and interpretation. Such qualifying matters will have relevant provisions and rule framework within the Plan. The proposed amendment adds little value, noting proposals have a range of districtwide rules to comply with, not just those relating to qualifying matters.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S35.5	Wellington Electricity Lines Limited	GRZ-P1 and HRZ-P1	Should Council consider the ISPP process unable to adopt the sought relief, WELL alternatively seeks that the permitted activity performance standards contained within the IPI for Medium and High Density housing include reference to the potential effects of Regionally Significant Infrastructure, in particular linking the provisions to Proposed Policy GRZ-P1B and HRZ-P1 of the ODP – and to amend the Policies as follows (additional text underlined): <u>Apply the MDRS across all relevant residential zones in the district plan except in circumstances where a qualifying matter is relevant (including matters of significance such as significant natural areas, Regionally Significant Infrastructure, historic heritage and the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu, and other taonga).</u>	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose this relief, noting that the presence of infrastructure in proximity to residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, warrant additional controls or management.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S33.12	Fuel Companies	HRZ-P6	Amend Policy HRZ-P6 as follows: Provide for and encourage medium and high density residential development that is consistent with the Council's Medium and High Density Design Guide in Appendix 1 <u>that achieves a built form that contributes to high-quality built environment outcomes including by:</u> (i) <u>Requiring designs to be consistent with Council's Medium and High Density Design Guide in Appendix 1; and</u> (ii) <u>Minimising reverse sensitivity effects on existing lawfully established non-residential activities.</u>	Reject	Kāinga Ora opposes the relief sought, noting that the presence of existing lawfully established activities in residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, warrant additional controls or management. Kāinga Ora opposes design guides being incorporated as statutory elements of the District Plan.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S33.18	Fuel Companies	HRZ-R8	Amend Standard HRZ-S8 to include the following matter of discretion: <u>(8) Reverse sensitivity effects on existing lawfully established non-residential activities.</u>	Reject	Kāinga Ora opposes the relief sought, noting that the presence of existing lawfully established activities in residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, present a reverse sensitivity effect warranting additional controls or management.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S33.14	Fuel Companies	HRZ-S2	Amend Standard HRZ-S2 as follows:	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora opposes this additional matter of discretion as the presence of existing lawfully established activities in proximity to residential areas enabled for intensification does not,	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.

Sub. Ref.	Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Primary Submission	Kāinga Ora Further Submission	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Further Submission	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
			Matters of discretion are restricted to: (8) <u>Reverse sensitivity effects on existing lawfully established non-residential activities.</u>		in and of itself, present a reverse sensitivity effect warranting additional controls or management.		
S33.15	Fuel Companies	HRZ-S3	Amend Standard HRZ-S3 to include the following matter of discretion: (7) <u>Reverse sensitivity effects on existing lawfully established non-residential activities.</u>	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora opposes this additional matter of discretion as the presence of existing lawfully established activities in proximity to residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, present a reverse sensitivity effect warranting additional controls or management.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S33.16	Fuel Companies	HRZ-S4	Amend Standard HRZ-S4 to include the following matter of discretion: (7) <u>Reverse sensitivity effects on existing lawfully established non-residential activities.</u>	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora opposes the relief sought, noting that the presence of existing lawfully established activities in proximity to residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, present a reverse sensitivity effect warranting additional controls or management.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S33.17	Fuel Companies	HRZ-S5	Amend Standard HRZ-S5 to include the following matter of discretion: (7) <u>Reverse sensitivity effects on existing lawfully established non-residential activities.</u>	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora opposes the relief sought, noting that the presence of existing lawfully established activities in proximity to residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, present a reverse sensitivity effect warranting additional controls or management.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S28.7	Ara Poutama Aotearoa – Department of Corrections	HRZ-P9	Add a new Policy HRZ-P9 as follows: HRZ-P9 <u>Enable a variety of housing types and households with a mix of densities within the General Residential Zone, including 3-storey attached and detached dwellings, and low-rise apartments.</u>	Reject	Kāinga Ora support recognising that there are different types of households within the urban environment. Kāinga Ora notes that the proposed wording of HRZ-P9 incorrectly references the 'General Residential Zone'.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S43.8	KiwiRail	SUB-HRZ-O3	Amend SUB-HRZ-O3 as follows: 'High quality intensive residential development is provided in close proximity to rapid transport stops, community facilities and commercial activities in multistorey flats and apartments– <u>in a manner that ensures the ongoing safe and efficient operation of transport networks and minimises potential reverse sensitivity effects.</u> '	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose the relief sought in relation to reverse sensitivity effects and considers that such effects should be resolved at the source.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S43.9	KiwiRail	SUB-HRZ-P4 (Note: the requested amendments apply to SUB-HRZ-P2 .)	Amend SUB-HRZ-P4 (Note: the requested amendments are to SUB-HRZ-P2) as follows: 'Recognise the benefits of wider adoption of public transport through the increase of density along public transport corridors and within walkable catchments of centres– <u>while ensuring development is undertaken in a manner that ensures the ongoing safe and efficient operation of transport networks and minimises potential reverse sensitivity effects.</u> '	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora oppose the relief sought in relation to reverse sensitivity effects and considers that such effects should be resolved at the source.	Reject	Kāinga Ora continues to seek this relief.
S28.8	Ara Poutama Aotearoa – Department of Corrections	MUZ-O1 and rules in CCZ, TCZ and MUZ	1. Amend the following objectives and policies to enable Community Corrections Activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mixed Use Zone Objective MUZ-O1. 2. Amend the rules in the following zones to enable Community Corrections Activity to be undertaken as permitted activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> City Centre Zone. Town Centre Zone. Mixed Use Zone. 	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora support providing a permitted activity framework for non-custodial community corrections facilities to operate and redevelop, within appropriate areas	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S33.21	Fuel Companies	MUZ-O3	Amend MUZ-O3 heading, and text as follows: <u>Managing Effects on Residential Amenity</u> and at the Zone Interface	Reject	Kāinga Ora opposes the relief sought in relation to reverse sensitivity, noting that residential intensification does not, in and of itself, warrant additional controls or management.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.

Sub. Ref.	Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Primary Submission	Kāinga Ora Further Submission	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Further Submission	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
			Use and development within the Mixed Use Zone are of an appropriate scale and manages potential adverse effects on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the amenity values of adjoining sites in Residential or Open Space and Recreation Zones. b) <u>the amenity values of residential activities within the same Zone.</u> c) <u>reverse sensitivity.</u> 				
S27.17	Transpower New Zealand Limited	District-wide matters table	Amend the District-wide table as follows: <u>"District-wide matters Subdivision within the General Residential Zone must comply will all relevant rules and standards: (a) that relate to qualifying matter areas; (b) that are in the district-wide matters and qualifying matter areas of the Plan as listed below: ..."</u>	Accept in part	It not considered necessary to aid plan implementation and interpretation. Kāinga Ora notes that rules relevant to the National Grid are already present within the subdivision provisions of the operative DP (including SUB-RES-R7), which have been carried through unamended within the IPI.	Accept in part	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S27.16	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Policy SUB-RES-P6	Amend policy SUB-RES-P6 to read: To provide for medium density housing within the General Residential Zone while: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) encouraging the consideration of the protection and retention of indigenous biodiversity values within the Indigenous Biodiversity Precinct. <u>and</u> (b) <u>recognising that some parts of the Zone contain qualifying matters that may modify or limit the\ density or height of development. ...</u> 	Reject	Kāinga Ora opposes the relief sought, as it not considered necessary to aid plan implementation and interpretation.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S35.1	Wellington Electricity Lines Limited	Qualifying Matters	It is sought that, as a mechanism, 'Qualifying Matters' be applied by Council in relation to the substation site identified in this submission to the extent that neighbouring (abutting) Medium and High Density Standard Zone properties cannot develop (as a permitted activity) multi-unit housing only 1.0m setback for the boundary and up to 20m in height.	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose this relief, noting that the presence of infrastructure in proximity to residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, warrant additional controls or management. Kāinga Ora does not consider that this constitutes a qualifying matter.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S35.2	Wellington Electricity Lines Limited	Qualifying Matters	WELL seek that intensified urban development is appropriately regulated through the qualifying matters provisions in the legislation on land which abuts critical Regionally Significant Infrastructure and associated facilities such as the identified Substations.	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose this relief, noting that the presence of infrastructure in proximity to residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, warrant additional controls or management. Kāinga Ora does not consider that this constitutes a qualifying matter.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S35.3	Wellington Electricity Lines Limited	Not stated	Seeks that all activities and development adjoining the Brown Owl and Trentham Substations must comply with the provisions of the underlying Residential Activity Area of the ODP as they currently stand (as are currently operative).	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose this relief, it does not consider that this constitutes a qualifying matter.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S35.4	Wellington Electricity Lines Limited	GRZ and HRZ provisions; and Maps.	Seek that the sites identified in this submission are identified on the applicable district planning map overlays with appropriate annotations to the effect that either medium or high density housing developments on abutting sites will require a land use consent as a Restricted Discretionary Activity thus enabling an effects assessment to be provided with appropriate reverse sensitivity mitigation being inherent to the development.	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose this relief, noting that the presence of infrastructure in proximity to residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, present a reverse sensitivity effect warranting additional controls or management.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.

Sub. Ref.	Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Primary Submission	Kāinga Ora Further Submission	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Further Submission	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
S35.6	Wellington Electricity Lines Limited	Entire IPI	WELL seek that Policy NU-P3 of the ODP is similarly reflected in the MDRS to ensure the adverse effects of the proposed housing intensification appropriately consider the adverse effects of reverse sensitivity on Regionally Significant Infrastructure such as the Brown Owl and Trentham Zone Substations.	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose this relief, noting that the presence of infrastructure in proximity to residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, warrant additional controls or management.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S35.7	Wellington Electricity Lines Limited	Entire IPI	WELL seeks to ensure protection of existing and lawfully established key substation sites which are located within the City's residential areas. The central point of protection stems from the actual and or potential effects of reverse sensitivity that will potentially be brought about through IPI implementation, and which will significantly increase the intensity of sensitive land use in close proximity to established substation facilities.	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose this relief, noting that the presence of infrastructure in proximity to residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, warrant additional controls or management.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S35.8	Wellington Electricity Lines Limited	Entire IPI	WELL seeks that any intensification of properties surrounding the substations are provided for as restricted discretionary development so as to adequately integrate appropriate feedback from WELL (as an affected party) and the provision of mitigation against the potential adverse effects of reverse sensitivity (i.e., noise mitigation, screening, health and safety).	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose this relief, noting that the presence of infrastructure in proximity to residential areas enabled for intensification does not, in and of itself, warrant additional controls or management.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S41.7	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Entire IPI	Ensure that density is appropriately managed within areas identified as experiencing 0.5 – 2 m inundation on the 'Regional Exposure Assessment 1% AEP' map.	Reject	Kāinga Ora support a risk-based approach to managing effects from natural hazards but opposes increasing the extent of flood hazard qualifying matter beyond those originally proposed in the IPI (3.1 (a) – (e)).	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S41.32	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Not stated	Incorporate the following provisions (or amendments to existing provisions) across the District Plan: (a) Include policies, rules and methods that protect indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. (b) Include policy to direct the circumstances when and how biodiversity offsetting can be used, and if used, the outcome must be at least 10% biodiversity gain or benefits. Refer to an appendix for full details. (c) Include an appendix which sets out the limitations where biodiversity offsetting is not appropriate as described in Policy 24 and Appendix 1A of the Proposed RPS Change 1.	Reject	Kāinga Ora support having objectives, policies and rules pertaining to indigenous biodiversity, but the extent of these should be clearly defined in an overlay and these should be in an overlay contained in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter.	Reject	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S43.13	KiwiRail	Rules LCZ-S2, MUZ-S3 TCZ-S3 and CCZ-S2, NCZ-SSC-S1, GRZ-S3	Amend setbacks in LCZ-S2, MUZ-S3 TCZ-S3 and CCZ-S2, NCZ-SSC-S1, GRZ-S3, and any other zones affected by the IPI that adjoins the railway corridor to include a new permitted activity standard that requires a 5.0m building setback from boundaries adjoining the rail corridor, and a new matter of discretion that addresses the location and	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose the relief sought as far as it applies to the requested 5m setback; a considerably reduced set back would provide adequate space for maintenance activities within sites adjacent to the rail network. In doing so, it will continue to protect the safe, efficient, and effective operation of the rail infrastructure while balancing the cost on landowners.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.

Sub. Ref.	Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Primary Submission	Kāinga Ora Further Submission	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Further Submission	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
			design of the building as it relates to the ability to safely use, access and maintain buildings without requiring access on, above or over the rail corridor. See the submission for specific requested amendments.				
S43.15	KiwiRail	Noise	<p>(1) Add a new objective and policy to the Noise chapter as follows: <u>NOISE-O2 Avoid where practicable, or otherwise remedy or mitigate, adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on regionally significant network utilities.</u></p> <p>(2) Add new policy as follows: <u>NOISE-P3 Require activities to be appropriately located and/or designed to avoid where practicable or otherwise remedy or mitigate reverse sensitivity effects on regionally significant network utilities.</u></p> <p>In the alternative and to the extent the noise and vibration rules are included in each relevant zone, amend the existing objectives and policies (including NCZ-P2, LCZ-P2, MUZ-P2 and TCZ-P2) to recognize the need to minimise reverse sensitivity effects on infrastructure.</p>	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose the use of the word 'avoid' in a noise policy limiting the development of residential activities near the railway. Onus should instead be placed on the source of the noise to adopt the Best Practicable Option to minimise and mitigate at the source and in the vicinity of the corridor the off-site effects as far as possible.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S43.16	KiwiRail	Noise	Insert new Permitted Activity and Restricted Discretionary Rule into the Noise chapter to manage new buildings and alterations to existing buildings containing an activity sensitive to noise in all zones. See the submission for the requested new rules.	Reject	Kāinga Ora considers that effects should only be mitigated by noise sensitive activities in the receiving environment following adopting of the Best Practicable Option ("BPO") to minimise and mitigate at source. Restrictions on neighbouring noise sensitive activities should be no more stringent than necessary. Any such controls should be informed by evidential noise modelling.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S43.17	KiwiRail	Noise	<p>1. Add a new permitted activity rule into the Noise chapter, or alternatively into each relevant zone adjoining the railway corridor that:</p> <p>(a) Specifies the maximum railway noise level (measured in LAeq(1h)) that any new building or alteration to an existing building that contains an activity sensitive to noise must meet be designed to meet.</p> <p>(b) Requires that any new building or alteration to an existing building that contains an activity sensitive to noise is at least 50 metres from any railway network and is designed so that a noise barrier completely blocks line-of-sight from all parts of doors and windows to all points 3.8 metres above railway tracks.</p> <p>(c) specifies the assumed level of noise from the railway track depending on the distance between the railway track and the new or altered building.</p> <p>(d) Requires new internal ventilation that provides air flow of at least 6 air changes per hour, provides relief for equivalent volumes of spill air, cooling, and heating of rooms between 18 degree C and 25 degrees C, and the noise emission limit for the heating/cooling or ventilation</p>	Reject	Kāinga Ora considers that effects should only be mitigated by noise sensitive activities in the receiving environment following adopting of the Best Practicable Option ("BPO") to minimise and mitigate at source and in the vicinity of the corridor the off-site effects as far as possible. Restrictions on neighbouring noise sensitive activities should be no more stringent than necessary. Any such controls should be informed by evidential noise modelling.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.

Sub. Ref.	Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Primary Submission	Kāinga Ora Further Submission	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Further Submission	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
			<p>system can emit. See the submission for the wording of all requested standards.</p> <p>2. Add new matters for consideration where the requested new standards are not met. See the submission for all requested matters for consideration.</p>				
S43.18	KiwiRail	Noise	<p>Add a new standard and matters for consideration into the Noise chapter or alternatively within each of the relevant zones adjoining the rail corridor as follows:</p> <p>New Noise standard: <u>NOISE-S8 Indoor railway vibration</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Any new buildings or alterations to existing buildings containing a noise sensitive activity, within 60 metres of the boundary of any railway network, must be protected from vibration arising from the nearby rail corridor.</u> 2. <u>Compliance with standard (1) above shall be achieved by a report submitted to the council demonstrating compliance with the following matters:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) <u>the new building or alteration or an existing building is designed, constructed and maintained to achieve rail vibration levels not exceeding 0.3 mm/s vw,95 or</u> (b) <u>the new building or alteration to an existing building is a single-storey framed residential building with:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. <u>a constant level floor slab on a full-surface vibration isolation bearing with natural frequency not exceeding 10 Hz, installed in accordance with the supplier's instructions and recommendations; and</u> ii. <u>vibration isolation separating the sides of the floor slab from the ground; and</u> iii. <u>no rigid connections between the building and the ground.</u> <p>Add new matters for consideration as follows: <u>Matters for consideration</u> <u>NOISE-MC4 Rail vibration</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) <u>the effects generated by the standard(s) not being met.</u> (b) <u>location of the building.</u> (c) <u>the effects of any non-compliance with the activity specific standards.</u> (d) <u>special topographical, building features or ground conditions which will mitigate vibration impacts.</u> (e) <u>the outcome of any consultation with KiwiRail.</u> 	Reject	Kāinga Ora considers that effects should only be mitigated by noise sensitive activities in the receiving environment following adopting of the Best Practicable Option ("BPO") to minimise and mitigate at source and in the vicinity of the corridor the off-site effects as far as possible. Restrictions on neighbouring noise sensitive activities should be no more stringent than necessary. Any such controls should be informed by evidential noise modelling.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S50.28	Waka Kotahi	Qualifying Matters	Include an overlay as qualifying matter which requires sensitive activities within 100m of State	Reject	Kāinga Ora considers that effects should only be mitigated by noise sensitive activities in the receiving environment following adopting of the Best Practicable Option ("BPO") to minimise and mitigate at source and in the vicinity of the corridor the off-site effects as far	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.

Sub. Ref.	Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Primary Submission	Kāinga Ora Further Submission	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Further Submission	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
			Highway 2 to provide mitigation for noise effects in accordance with Waka Kotahi standards.		as possible. Restrictions on neighbouring noise sensitive activities should be no more stringent than necessary. Any such controls should be informed by evidential noise modelling.		
S53.1	New Zealand Defence Force	Entire IPI	Seek to ensure that when significant intensification occurs within close proximity to Defence Facilities as proposed through the IPI, then reverse sensitivity effects are managed so that the ongoing operation of Defence Facilities are protected.	Reject	Kāinga Ora considers that reverse sensitivity effects should be mitigated at the source. Restrictions on nearby activities should be no more stringent than absolutely necessary.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S53.5	New Zealand Defence Force	Entire IPI	Include the requirement for new development authorised by this Plan Change, that is within the NZDF reverse sensitivity buffer area, to include no-complaints covenants in favour of NZDF.	Reject	Kāinga Ora considers that any reverse sensitivity effects should only be mitigated by nearby activities where any potential effects have first been mitigated at the source.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S53.7	New Zealand Defence Force	Entire IPI	That additional permitted activity standards requiring the registration of no-complaints covenants in favour of the NZDF are incorporated into intensification rules, for new development authorised by this Plan Change, in a NZDF reverse sensitivity buffer area.	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose the imposition of no complaints covenants and considers that potential effects from the operation of the NZDF should be mitigated in the first instance.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S53.8	New Zealand Defence Force	Entire IPI	That reverse sensitivity be considered as a matter of control or discretion for proposed intensification not meeting permitted activity standards within a NZDF reverse sensitivity buffer area.	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose the use of a buffer area as a way in which to manage potential reverse sensitivity effects of intensification near NZDF activities	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S53.10	New Zealand Defence Force	Definition – Qualifying Matter Area	Amend definition of "Qualifying Matter Area" to include "NZDF reverse sensitivity buffer area".	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose the use of a buffer area as a way in which to manage potential reverse sensitivity effects of intensification near NZDF activities.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S53.12	New Zealand Defence Force	Entire IPI	Include objectives and policies that specifically manage reverse sensitivity effects on Trentham Military Camp in both the General Residential zone and the High Density Residential Zone. Means to achieve this include through the registration of no-complaint covenants in NZDF's favour within the NZDF reverse sensitivity buffer area.	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose the use of a no complaints covenant and buffer area as a way in which to manage potential reverse sensitivity effects of intensification near NZDF activities.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S28.2	Ara Poutama Aotearoa – Department of Corrections	New Definition - Household	Add a new definition of "Household" as follows: <u>Household: means a person or group of people who live together as a unit whether or not:</u> a. <u>any or all of them are members of the same family; or</u> b. <u>one or more members of the group (whether or not they are paid) provides day-to-day care, support and supervision to any other member(s) of the group.</u>	Reject	Kāinga Ora seeks clarity as to how this definition relates to other defined activities within the District Plan e.g. rehabilitation facilities, boarding houses etc.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S43.1	KiwiRail	Definition – Qualifying Matter Area	Add the following to the definition for 'qualifying matter area': '(s) areas adjacent to the railway corridor.'	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose the relief sought, and does not support a railway corridor being within the definition of 'qualifying matter area.' Kāinga Ora considers the 1.5m front yard and 1m side/rear yard setbacks, as required in the MDRS, are sufficient as these provides adequate space for maintenance activities within sites adjacent to the rail network.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.
S43.3	KiwiRail	New Definition – Activities	Add a new definition to Chapter 3.1 for 'activities sensitive to noise' as follows: 'Activities sensitive to noise means any residential unit, minor residential unit, family flat, rest home, retirement	Reject	Kāinga Ora oppose the proposed new definition as far as it relates to unnecessary restrictions in relation to noise and vibration.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.

Sub. Ref.	Submitter	Provision	Decision Requested by submitter	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Primary Submission	Kāinga Ora Further Submission	S.42A Author's Recommendation on Further Submission	Kāinga Ora position following s42A reports
		Sensitive to Noise	village, marae, community care housing, early childhood centre, educational facility, kōhanga reo, hospital, and healthcare facilities with an overnight stay facility.'				
S53.3	New Zealand Defence Force	Definition – Qualifying Matter Area	The definition of “Qualifying matter area” be amended to include a reverse sensitivity buffer area for Defence Facilities. This will include an area around Defence Facilities within which reverse sensitivity effects can be managed (through a qualifying matter) to ensure the safe and efficient operation of Nationally Significant Infrastructure.	Reject	Kāinga Ora considers that no reverse sensitivity buffer area is necessary.	Accept	Kāinga Ora accepts the s42A recommendation.